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Abstract

The quality and assessment of a reservoir can be documented in details by the application of Specific heat capacity. This research aims to calculate fractal dimension from the relationship among Specific heat capacity, maximum Specific heat capacity and wetting phase saturation and to approve it by the fractal dimension derived from the relationship among capillary pressure and wetting phase saturation. Two equations for calculating the fractal dimensions have been employed. The first one describes the functional relationship between wetting phase saturation, Specific heat capacity, maximum Specific heat capacity and fractal dimension. The second equation implies the wetting phase saturation as a function of capillary pressure and the fractal dimension. Two procedures for obtaining the fractal dimension have been utilized. The first procedure was done by plotting the logarithm of the ratio between Specific heat capacity and maximum Specific heat capacity versus logarithm wetting phase saturation. The slope of the first procedure = 3 - DF (fractal dimension). The second procedure for obtaining the fractal dimension was determined by plotting the logarithm of capillary pressure versus the logarithm of wetting phase saturation. The slope of the second procedure = DF -3. On the basis of the obtained results of the fabricated stratigraphic column and the attained values of the fractal dimension, the sandstones of the Shajara reservoirs of the Shajara Formation were divided here into three units.
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Introduction

Seismo electric effects related to electro kinetic potential, dielectric permittivity, pressure gradient, fluid viscosity, and electric conductivity was first reported by [1]. Capillary pressure follows the scaling law at low wetting phase saturation was reported by [2]. Seismo electric phenomenon by considering electro kinetic coupling coefficient as a function of effective charge density, permeability, fluid viscosity and electric conductivity was reported by [3]. The magnitude of seismo electric current depends on porosity, pore size, zeta potential of the pore surfaces, and elastic properties of the matrix was investigated by [4]. The tangent of the ratio of converted electric field to pressure is approximately in inverse proportion to permeability was studied by [5]. Permeability inversion from seismo electric log at low frequency was studied by [6]. They reported that, the tangent of the ratio among electric excitation intensity and pressure field is a function of porosity, fluid viscosity, frequency, tortuosity, and fluid density and Dracy permeability. A decrease of seismo electric frequencies with increasing water content was reported by [7]. An increase of seismo electric transfer function with increasing water saturation was studied by [8]. An increase of dynamic seismo electric transfer function with decreasing fluid conductivity was described by [9]. The amplitude of seismo electric signal increases with increasing permeability which means that the seismo electric effects are directly related to the permeability and can be used to study the permeability of the reservoir was illustrated by [10]. Seismo electric coupling is frequency dependent and decreases exponentially when frequency increases was demonstrated by [11]. An increase of permeability with increasing pressure head and bubble pressure fractal dimension was reported by [12, 13]. An increase of geometric relaxation time of induced polarization fractal dimension with permeability increasing and grain size was described by [14, 15].

Materials and Methods

Sandstone samples were collected from the surface type section of the Permo-Carboniferous Shajara Formation, latitude 26° 52’ 17.4”, longitude 43° 36’ 18”. (Figure1). Porosity was measured on collected samples using mercury intrusion Porosimetry and permeability was derived from capillary pressure data. The purpose of this paper is to obtain Specific heat capacity fractal dimension and to confirm it by capillary pressure fractal dimension. The fractal dimension of the first procedure is determined from the positive slope of the plot of logarithm of the ratio of Specific heat capacity to maximum Specific heat capacity log (SHC/SHCmax) versus log wetting phase saturation (logSw). Whereas the fractal dimension of the second procedure is determined from the negative slope of the plot of logarithm of capillary pressure (log Pc) versus logarithm of wetting phase saturation (logSw).
Figure 1: Surface type section of the Shajara Reservoirs of the Permo-Carboniferous Shajara Formation at latitude 26° 52' 17.4" longitude 43° 36' 18"
The specific heat capacity can be scaled as

\[ S_w = \left( \frac{\text{SHC}}{\text{SHC}_{\text{max}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]  

\[ 1^{\text{DF}} \]  

Equation 1

Where \( S_w \) the water saturation, \( \text{SHC} \) the specific heat capacity in Joule / Kelvin * kilogram, \( \text{SHC}_{\text{max}} \) the maximum specific heat capacity in Joule / Kelvin * kilogram, and \( \text{DF} \) the fractal dimension.

Equation 1 can be proofed from

\[ Q = \Delta T \cdot m \cdot \text{SHC} \]  

\[ 2 \]

Where \( Q \) the heat in Joule, \( \Delta T \) temperature difference in Kelvin, \( m \) the mass in kilogram, \( \text{SHC} \) the specific heat capacity in Joule / Kelvin * kilogram. The mass \( m \) can be scaled as

\[ m = \left( \frac{F}{g} \right) \]  

\[ 3 \]

Where \( m \) the mass in kilogram, \( F \) the force in Newton, \( g \) acceleration in meter / square second Insert equation 3 into equation 2

\[ Q = \left( \frac{\Delta T \cdot F \cdot \text{SHC}}{g} \right) \]  

\[ 4 \]

The acceleration \( g \) can be scaled as

\[ g = \left( \frac{E}{\Psi} \right) \]  

\[ 5 \]

Where \( g \) the acceleration in meter / square second, \( E \) the electric field in volt / meter, \( \Psi \) the electric transfer function in volt * square second / square meter. Insert equation 5 into equation 4

\[ Q = \left( \frac{\Delta T \cdot F \cdot \text{SHC} \cdot \Psi}{E} \right) \]  

\[ 6 \]

The electric field \( E \) can be scaled as

\[ E = \left( \frac{V}{\text{CEK}} \right) \]  

\[ 7 \]

Where \( E \) the electric field in volt / meter, \( V \) the velocity in meter / second, \( \text{CEK} \) the electro kinetic coefficient in ampere / pascal * meter. Insert equation 7 into equation 6

\[ Q = \left( \frac{\Delta T \cdot F \cdot \text{SHC} \cdot \Psi \cdot \text{CEK}}{V} \right) \]  

\[ 8 \]

The velocity \( V \) can be scaled as

\[ V = \left( \frac{Q}{A} \right) \]  

\[ 9 \]

Where \( V \) the velocity in meter / second, \( Q' \) the flow rate in cubic meter / second, \( A \) the area in square meter Insert equation 9 into equation 8

\[ Q = \left( \frac{\Delta T \cdot F \cdot \text{SHC} \cdot \Psi \cdot \text{CEK} \cdot A}{Q'} \right) \]  

\[ 10 \]

Equation 10 after rearrangement will become

\[ Q \cdot Q' = \Delta T \cdot F \cdot \text{SHC} \cdot \Psi \cdot \text{CEK} \cdot A \]  

\[ 11 \]

The flow rate \( Q' \) can be scaled as

\[ Q' = \left( \frac{k \cdot A \cdot \Delta P}{\mu \cdot L} \right) \]  

\[ 12 \]

Where \( Q' \) the flow rate in cubic meter / second, \( k \) the permeability in square meter, \( A \) the area in square meter, \( \Delta P \) the differential pressure in Pascal, \( \mu \) the fluid viscosity in Pascal second, \( L \) the capillary length in meter. Insert equation 12 into equation 11

\[ Q \cdot k \cdot \Delta P = \Delta T \cdot F \cdot \text{SHC}_{\text{max}} \cdot \Psi \cdot \text{CEK} \cdot A \cdot \mu \cdot L \]  

\[ 13 \]

The maximum permeability \( k_{\text{max}} \) can be scaled as

\[ Q \cdot k_{\text{max}} \cdot \Delta P = \Delta T \cdot F \cdot \text{SHC}_{\text{max}} \cdot \Psi \cdot \text{CEK} \cdot A \cdot \mu \cdot L \]  

\[ 14 \]

Divide equation 13 by equation 14

\[ \left( \frac{Q \cdot k \cdot \Delta P}{Q \cdot k_{\text{max}} \cdot \Delta P} \right) = \left( \frac{\Delta T \cdot F \cdot \text{SHC}_{\text{max}} \cdot \Psi \cdot \text{CEK} \cdot A \cdot \mu \cdot L}{\Delta T \cdot F \cdot \text{SHC}_{\text{max}} \cdot \Psi \cdot \text{CEK} \cdot A \cdot \mu \cdot L} \]  

\[ 15 \]

Equation 15 after simplification will become

\[ \left[ \frac{k}{k_{\text{max}}} \right] = \left[ \frac{\text{SHC}}{\text{SHC}_{\text{max}}} \right] \]  

\[ 16 \]

Take the square root of equation 16

\[ \left( \frac{k}{k_{\text{max}}} \right) \left( \frac{\text{SHC}}{\text{SHC}_{\text{max}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left( \frac{\text{SHC}}{\text{SHC}_{\text{max}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]  

\[ 17 \]

Equation 17 after simplification will become

\[ \left( \frac{k}{k_{\text{max}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left( \frac{\text{SHC}}{\text{SHC}_{\text{max}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]  

\[ 18 \]

But,

\[ \left( \frac{k}{k_{\text{max}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left( \frac{\text{SHC}}{\text{SHC}_{\text{max}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left( \frac{r}{r_{\text{max}}} \right) \]  

\[ 19 \]

Where \( r \) the pore radius in meter, \( r_{\text{max}} \) the maximum pore radius in meter, Take the logarithm of equation 19

\[ \log \left( \frac{k}{k_{\text{max}}} \right) = \log \left( \frac{\text{SHC}}{\text{SHC}_{\text{max}}} \right) = \log \left( \frac{r}{r_{\text{max}}} \right) \]  

\[ 20 \]

But,

\[ \log \left( \frac{r}{r_{\text{max}}} \right) = \log \left( \frac{S_w}{3 - \text{DF}} \right) \]  

\[ 21 \]

Insert equation 21 into equation 20
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\[ \log\left(\frac{\text{SHC}^{1/2}}{\text{SHC}_{\text{max}}^{1/2}}\right) = \log\left(\frac{S_w}{3 - \text{DF}}\right) \]  

Equation 22 after log removal will become

\[ S_w = \left(\frac{\text{SHC}^2}{\text{SHC}_{\text{max}}^2}\right)^{\text{DF}-3} \]  

Equation 23 the proof of equation 1 which relates the water saturation, specific heat capacity, maximum specific heat capacity and the fractal dimension. The capillary pressure can be scaled as

\[ S_w = (\text{DF} - 3)^*P_c*\text{constant} \]  

Where \(S_w\) the water saturation, \(P_c\) the capillary pressure and \(Df\) the fractal dimension.

Table 1: Petro physical model showing the three Shajara Reservoir Units with their corresponding values of Specific heat capacity fractal dimension and capillary pressure fractal dimension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Reservoir</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Porosity %</th>
<th>K (md)</th>
<th>Grain size</th>
<th>Positive slope of the first procedure</th>
<th>Negative slope of the second procedure</th>
<th>Specific heat capacity fractal dimension</th>
<th>Capillary pressure fractal dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permo-Carboniferous Shajara Formation</td>
<td>Upper Shajara Reservoir</td>
<td>SJ13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>Coarse-grained</td>
<td>0.2128</td>
<td>-0.2128</td>
<td>2.7872</td>
<td>2.7872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SJ12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>Very coarse-grained</td>
<td>0.2141</td>
<td>-0.2141</td>
<td>2.7859</td>
<td>2.7859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SJ11</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1197</td>
<td>Medium-grained</td>
<td>0.2414</td>
<td>-0.2414</td>
<td>2.7586</td>
<td>2.7586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SJ9</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1394</td>
<td>Medium-grained</td>
<td>0.2214</td>
<td>-0.2214</td>
<td>2.7786</td>
<td>2.7786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SJ8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1344</td>
<td>Medium-grained</td>
<td>0.2248</td>
<td>-0.2248</td>
<td>2.7752</td>
<td>2.7752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SJ7</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1472</td>
<td>Coarse-grained</td>
<td>0.2317</td>
<td>-0.2317</td>
<td>2.7683</td>
<td>2.7683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SJ4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>Coarse-grained</td>
<td>0.3157</td>
<td>-0.3157</td>
<td>2.6843</td>
<td>2.6843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SJ3</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Fine-grained</td>
<td>0.5621</td>
<td>-0.5621</td>
<td>2.4379</td>
<td>2.4379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SJ2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1955</td>
<td>Medium-grained</td>
<td>0.2252</td>
<td>-0.2252</td>
<td>2.7748</td>
<td>2.7748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SJ1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>Medium-grained</td>
<td>0.2141</td>
<td>-0.2141</td>
<td>2.7859</td>
<td>2.7859</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Lower Shajara reservoir was symbolized by six sandstone samples (Figure 1), four of which label as SJ1, SJ2, SJ3 and SJ4 were carefully chosen for capillary pressure measurement as proven in Table1. Their positive slopes of the first procedure log of the Specific heat capacity to maximum Specific heat capacity versus log wetting phase saturation (Sw) and negative slopes of the second procedure log capillary pressure (Pc) versus log wetting phase saturation (Sw) are clarified in Figure 2, Figure 3, Figure 4, Figure 5 and Table 1. Their Specific heat capacity fractal dimension and capillary pressure fractal dimension values are revealed in Table 1. As we proceed from sample SJ2 to SJ3 a pronounced reduction in permeability due to compaction was described from 1955 md to 56 md which reflects decrease in Specific heat capacity fractal dimension from 2.7748 to 2.4379 as quantified in table 1. Again, an increase in grain size and permeability was proved from sample SJ4 whose Specific heat capacity fractal dimension and capillary pressure fractal dimension was found to be 2.6843 as described in Table 1.

In contrast, the Middle Shajara reservoir which is separated from the Lower Shajara reservoir by an unconformity surface as revealed in Figure 1. It was nominated by four samples (Figure 1), three of which named as SJ7, SJ8, and SJ9 as illuminated in Table1 were chosen for capillary measurements as described in Table 1. Their positive slopes of the first procedure and negative slopes of the second procedure are shown in Figure 6, Figure 7.

Results and Discussion

Based on field observation the Shajara Reservoirs of the Permo-Carboniferous Shajara Formation were divided here into three units as described in Figure 1. These units from bottom to top are: Lower Shajara Reservoir; Middle Shajara reservoir; and Upper Shajara Reservoir. Their attained results of the Specific heat capacity fractal dimension and capillary pressure fractal dimension are shown in Table 1. Based on the achieved results it was found that the Specific heat capacity fractal dimension is equal to the capillary pressure fractal dimension. The maximum value of the fractal dimension was found to be 2.7872 allocated to sample SJ13 from the Upper Shajara Reservoir as verified in Table 1. Whereas the minimum value of the fractal dimension 2.4379 was reported from sample SJ3 from the Lower Shajara reservoir as shown in Table1. The Specific heat capacity fractal dimension and capillary pressure fractal dimension were detected to increase with increasing permeability as proved in Table1 owing to the possibility of having interconnected channels. Altogether, additional evidence to proof permeability increases with interconnected channels is the degree of sorting and friability.
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Figure 2: Log (SHC^1/2/SHC^1/2_max) & log pc versus log Sw for sample SJ1

Figure 3: Log (SHC^1/2/SHC^1/2_max) & log pc versus log Sw for sample SJ2

Figure 4: Log (SHC^1/2/SHC^1/2_max) & log pc versus log Sw for sample SJ3

Figure 5: Log (SHC^1/2/SHC^1/2_max) & log pc versus log Sw for sample SJ4

Figure 6: Log (SHC^1/2/SHC^1/2_max) & log pc versus log Sw for sample SJ7

Figure 7: Log (SHC^1/2/SHC^1/2_max) & log pc versus log Sw for sample SJ8
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Figure 8: Log (SHC^{1/2}/SHC^{1/2}_{max}) & log pc versus log Sw for sample SJ9

Figure 9: Log (SHC^{1/2}/SHC^{1/2}_{max}) & log pc versus log Sw for sample SJ11

Figure 10: Log (SHC^{1/2}/SHC^{1/2}_{max}) & log pc versus log Sw for sample SJ12

Figure 11: Log (SHC^{1/2}/SHC^{1/2}_{max}) & log pc versus log Sw for sample SJ13

Figure 12: Slope of the first procedure versus slope of the second procedure

Figure 13: Specific heat capacity fractal dimension versus capillary pressure fractal dimension
and Figure 8 and Table 1. Furthermore, their Specific heat capacity fractal dimensions and capillary pressure fractal dimensions show similarities as defined in Table 1. Their fractal dimensions are higher than those of samples SJ3 and SJ4 from the Lower Shajara Reservoir due to an increase in their permeability as explained in table 1.

On the other hand, the Upper Shajara reservoir was separated from the Middle Shajara reservoir by yellow green mudstone as shown in Figure 1. It is defined by three samples so called SJ11, SJ12, and SJ13 as explained in Table 1. Their positive slopes of the first procedure and negative slopes of the second procedure are displayed in Figure 9, Figure 10 and Figure 11 and Table 1. Moreover, their Specific heat capacity fractal dimension and capillary pressure fractal dimension are also higher than those of sample SJ3 and SJ4 from the Lower Shajara Reservoir due to an increase in their permeability as simplified in table 1.

Overall a plot of positive slope of the first procedure versus negative slope of the second procedure as described in Figure 12 reveals three permeable zones of varying Petro physical properties. These reservoir zones were also confirmed by plotting Specific heat capacity fractal dimension versus capillary pressure fractal dimension as described in Figure 13. Such variation in fractal dimension can account for heterogeneity which is a key parameter in reservoir quality assessment.

Conclusion

The sandstones of the Shajara Reservoirs of the permo-Carboniferous Shajara Formation were divided here into three units based on Specific heat capacity fractal dimension. The Units from base to top are: Lower Shajara Specific Heat Capacity Fractal Dimension Unit, Middle Shajara Specific Heat Capacity Fractal Dimension Unit, and Upper Shajara Specific Heat Capacity Fractal Dimension Unit. These units were also proved by capillary pressure fractal dimension. As a whole, the fractal dimension was found to increase with increasing grain size, degree of sorting, friability, and permeability owing to possibility of having interconnected channels.
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