Atrial Arrhythmias in Adults with Repaired Congenital Heart Disease
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Abstract

With advances in surgical and medical care over the past several decades, there has been a marked improvement in survival such that over 90% of children with Congenital Heart Disease (CHD) in developed countries today are expected to survive into adulthood. At present there are currently over 1 million adults with repaired congenital heart in the United States alone, over 100,000 in Canada, and 1.8 million in Europe. However, repaired congenital heart does not equate to a normal heart. In spite of excellent techniques, surgical repairs often left behind prosthetic materials and scars which often become the nidus for reentrant arrhythmias, especially atrial. Although sinus node dysfunction and resultant atrial flutter/fibrillation become evident in the elderly, comparable atrial arrhythmias will occur among younger ACHD patients often in their second and third decades of life, and can range from asymptomatic to hemodynamically significant and potentially lethal. In the long term, arrhythmias are a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in adults with repaired CHD. This review discusses current approaches to atrial arrhythmia identification and management among ACHD patients.

Introduction

Due to advances in medical/surgical cardiac care, over 90% of children born with Congenital Heart Disease (CHD) in developed countries today are expected to survive into adulthood [1]. At present, population-based studies have found that there are currently over 1 million adults with CHD (ACHD) in the United States alone, over 100,000 in Canada, and 1.8 million in Europe [2-4].

Arrhythmias increase in prevalence with increasing age in the vulnerable ACHD population and are among the most common causes for hospital admission and mortality among these patients [5-7]. They may present as brady- or tachyarrhythmias or both. With certain repaired congenital heart defects being associated with an increased incidence simply due to inherent anatomy [8]. With improving patient survival, health care delivery focus has shifted from early determinants of morbidity and mortality, such as the complexity of congenital heart lesions and associated surgical risk factors (prematurity, genetic syndromes, etc) to late predictors of morbidity and mortality associated with systemic ventricular dysfunction, heart failure, hemodynamic alterations, early coronary artery disease, hepatic dysfunction and malignancy and arrhythmias.

Arrhythmia Burden in Repaired Congenital Heart

Bradyarrhythmias are most commonly occurring due to sinus or AV nodal dysfunction, often due to surgical cannulation for bypass performed during infancy or septal defect repairs. Certain CHD, such as atrial septal defects, can be associated with intrinsic sinus node dysfunction, while repaired CHD (Mustard/Senning procedures for D-Transposition of The Great Arteries (D-TGA)) carry an increased sinus node dysfunction burden due to the extensive surgical manipulations associated with the intra-atrial baffle required to re-route venous blood flow to the pulmonary ventricle [9,10]. Other defects, such as congenitally-corrected TGA (often referred as CC-TGA, L-TGA, ventricular inversion), Atrioventricular Canals (AVC), primum atrial septal defects and ventricular septal defects may intrinsically have abnormalities with AV node/bundle of His conduction due to an inferiorly and posteriorly displaced AV node and a hypoplastic left anterior fascicle. L-TGA is associated with late-onset complete AV block while patients with AVC defects or tricuspid atresia may exhibit a leftward or superior frontal plane QRS axis. Repair of any septal defect can impair AV node conduction. This can be evident either immediately following repair or present years later due to the encroachment of fibrotic tissue on the conduction pathways. Any right ventriculotomy incision can transect the moderator band and cause a distal Right Bundle Branch Block (RBBB) pattern. The combination of a “left or superior axis” with associated RBBB pattern on a surface ECG, therefore, does not always indicate progressive conduction abnormalities associated with coronary disease but may be the simple consequence of an inherently altered QRS axis due to the underlying anatomy with a clinically-occult distal RBBB pattern in a patient with a repaired CHD defect, and need not be a concern for coronary artery intervention (Figure 1).
More than 50% of patients with repaired congenital heart disease can develop atrial tachyarrhythmias by the age of 65 years [8]. These arrhythmias may be mediated by scars, accessory pathways (especially in Ebstein’s anomaly of the tricuspid valve), AV node reentry, twin AV nodes (L-TGA), macro-reentrant circuits, automatic foci, or non-automatic foci [10,11]. Atrial reentry is the most common tachyarrhythmia among ACHD patients. Also the prevalence of atrial fibrillation increases as the ACHD population ages. The risk of late sudden death for patients surviving operations for common congenital heart defects is 25 to 100 times greater than an age-matched control population [6]. Fortunately, the absolute incidence of these devastating events remains relatively low, at approximately 0.1% per year.

In addition to direct effects on the conduction tissue and surgical scars, atrial arrhythmias among ACHD patients can be the result of mechanical considerations including post-operative pressure and volume overload, resulting in stretched atrial chambers. This is of special consideration among patients with single ventricle physiology in whom variations of the surgical “Fontan” atrial-pulmonary connection techniques result in elevated atrial pressure, often to 20mmHg. Separation of atrial muscle by fibrotic tissue predisposes to reentrant arrhythmias. A slow form of atrial flutter, Intra-Atrial Reentrant Tachycardia (IART) is commonly seen among such patients (Figure 2) and, due to the thickness of the atrial tissue, difficult to control by drug or ablation therapies [12,13]. Since it is beyond the scope of this review, a detailed description of ACHD arrhythmias including typical ECG features associated with repaired CHD can be found in the recent publication by the Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology Society [12]. An abbreviated listing of ECGs among the more common repaired congenital heart defects can be found in table 1.

**Arrhythmia Identification**

**Non-invasive Testing**

**Electrocardiogram:** It is extremely important to understand “expected” ECG findings in adults with different repaired/unrepaired congenital heart defects so as not to make an erroneous diagnosis. Also understanding the natural course of different lesions will give the interpreter an advantage as to what arrhythmias are more common in different heart lesions. Special note must be made of the heart rate [atrial flutter from incisonal tachycardia/scars in ACHD patients tend to be at a slower rate and have different p wave morphology that classic flutter waves), AV and intraventricular conduction disturbances, QRS duration, repolarization pattern and atrial/ventricular ectopics all of which may be useful to identify different rhythm abnormalities (Figure 3).

Even in the absence of symptoms, a screening ECG is useful to diagnose certain electrophysiological abnormalities. For example, among patients with Ebstein’s anomaly there is
Table 1: Frequently seen post-surgical ECG features of common congenital heart defects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Congenital Defect</th>
<th>PR interval</th>
<th>QRS axis</th>
<th>QRS pattern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASD-2°</td>
<td>1° AVB</td>
<td>Normal, rightward</td>
<td>rSr, IRBBB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSD</td>
<td>Normal or 1° AVB</td>
<td>Normal or LAD</td>
<td>Normal or RBBB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVC</td>
<td>1° AVB</td>
<td>LAD</td>
<td>rSr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOF</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>Normal or RAD</td>
<td>RBBB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-TGA (atrial baffle)</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>RAD</td>
<td>RVH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASD-2°: Atrial Septal Defect secundum type; AVB: Atrioventricular Block; AVC: Atrioventricular Canal; D-TGA: D-Transposition of Great Arteries; iRBBB: Incomplete Right Bundle Branch Block; LAD: Leftward Axis Deviation; TOF: Tetralogy Of Fallot; RAD: Rightward Axis Deviation; RVH: Right Ventricular Hypertrophy

Figure 3: ECG showing progression from 1° (A) to complete AV block (B) in a patient with L-TGA as well as sinus dysfunction requiring pacemaker implant. The intrinsic ECG illustrates an abnormal leftward axis, intraventricular conduction delay and atypical Q waves. There was no coronary artery disease.

an increased prevalence of Wolff-Parkinson-White accessory connections. Among patients with repaired Tetralogy of Fallot, the rate of QRS duration change over time has a correlation with right ventricular size and propensity for tachycardia and sudden death [14,15]. An ECG plays an important role in monitoring patients on antiarrhythmic medications and other QT-prolonging drugs. Among patients with pacemakers, it is a good surveillance tool to assess pacemaker functioning.

**Holter/Event monitoring:** Routine Holter monitoring every few years is an important element of surveillance in ACHD. In one study, among the patients without arrhythmias on ECG, 26% had arrhythmias noted on Holter monitoring. Of the patients with multiple Holter monitoring performed, 34% had a new arrhythmia noted on repeat monitoring [16]. Certain ACHD patients are at higher risk for arrhythmias/sudden death, such as those with D-TGA and atrial baffle repairs, aortic stenosis, Fontan palliation or after repair of Tetralogy of Fallot. Event recorders are important in evaluating sporadic symptoms. Most commonly used event recorders are a non-invasive type but in cases where there is a high suspicion for malignant arrhythmias with no yield on non-invasive event monitoring an implantable loop recorder may be considered.

**Exercise stress testing:** Cardiopulmonary exercise testing can be useful in adults with CHD and known or suspected exercise-induced arrhythmias in order to provoke the arrhythmia, establish a diagnosis, or assess response to therapy. It can provide information regarding sinus node behavior, AV conduction, and inducibility of tachyarrhythmias [17].

**Invasive Testing**

**Intracardiac electrophysiology studies:** Electrophysiology Study (EPS) is the most definitive means of characterizing arrhythmias in ACHD (location, mechanism etc.). Also, if a source of arrhythmia is identified, ablation procedures could ostensibly be performed to help eliminate the source of such arrhythmias. EPS may pose unique challenges in ACHD. Vascular access
may be complicated by associated vascular anomalies or prior interventions and hence venography should be considered. Due to occluded or interrupted veins, alternative vascular routes such as internal jugular, subclavian, or transhepatic may be attempted. Ablation outcomes are different between patients with normal anatomy vs. CHD with post repair anatomy, altered hemodynamic, and residual prosthetic materials adding to less-effective ablative results. For example atrial tachycardias among patients with Tetralogy of Fallot, single ventricle with variation of the "Fontan" atrio-pulmonary connections or intra-atrial baffle (Mustard/Senning) repair may only exhibit only a 70% success compared with the over 90% success among patients with normal and non-surgical repair anatomy [18-20].

**Treatment Options for Arrhythmia Eradication**

**Ablation therapies**

The prevalence of late post operative atrial tachycardias varies and the most common mechanism is macro reentry within the atrial musculature, so-called intra-atrial reentrant tachycardia (IART) often due to cavotricuspid isthmus-dependent (counterclockwise or clockwise) flutter or scar-based macro reentry. Anatomic structures, areas of scar tissue, suture lines, cannulation sites, or surgically inserted prosthetic materials often form the boundaries of these reentrant circuits. Although pharmacotherapies can help control some abnormal rhythms, the ultimate therapeutic goal should entail attempts at arrhythmia substrate ablation [12]. A 3-dimensional electro-anatomic mapping system is useful to guide catheter ablation of postoperative atrial tachyarrhythmias in adults with CHD [21]. Reported acute procedural success rates range from 72% to 77%, depending in part on the complexity of the underlying defects. However, recurrences can be as high as 54% [22]. Due to atrial wall thickness associated with some CHD defects ("Fontan"), transcatheter ablation may not be as effective as surgical techniques such as the various modifications of the Cox-Maze procedure.

The Society of Thoracic Surgeons 2011 database that included 5265 adults with CHD operated on over 9 years, demonstrated a 20% combined incidence of concurrent and primary arrhythmia surgery, including pacemaker implantation [23]. In the ACHD surgical population, arrhythmias were the most common preoperative factor and postoperative complication. Patients undergoing Fontan revision or conversion had the highest incidence of pre-operative arrhythmia, noted in 53%, followed by 16% in those having mitral valvuloplasty. When open heart cardiac surgery is planned for an adult with CHD it is recommended that the individual undergo a thorough arrhythmia assessment to determine if any additional surgical interventions are required.

**Implantable Cardiac Device Therapy**

**Permanent Pacing**

Indications are well-established for patients with symptomatic brady- as well as tachyarrhythmias depending if atrial, ventricular or both chambers are involved [12]. Prior to device implantation, it is critical that the implanting physician have a thorough and accurate understanding of the congenital heart defect and cardiothoracic surgical procedure(s) performed. Meticulous attention should be given to previous operative reports, noninvasive imaging, and angiography. A detailed understanding of the venous drainage, baffles, conduits, and any residual shunts should be sought prior to implantation to avoid patient morbidities during attempted device implant [24]. It is now well recognized that right ventricular pacing, especially the apical and free wall regions, can have adverse effects on left ventricular function. Although ventricular septal pacing has been advocated as preferential to the apex, any surgical patch materials can negate the efficacy of that site. Recent studies from patients with and without CHD suggest that systemic left ventricular function is best preserved by first determining the most optimal pacing site based on paced-contractility response, prior to lead implant [25,26].

Since inappropriate defibrillation shocks can frequently occur due to device oversensing, proper programming to discriminate between sinus tachycardia and other arrhythmias is mandatory when individualizing device therapies [27].

**Conclusions**

Adult congenital heart patients constitute a growing and challenging population. The presence of intrinsic congenital heart anatomies, in addition to surgical interventions, predisposes these patients to atrial arrhythmias beyond the scope of simple aging. Arrhythmia management will often be difficult. It behooves any and all healthcare providers to become acquainted with the various nuances of cardiac anatomy and surgical procedures involved, in order to provide effective and optimal patient care.
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