Analysis of the Titanium-Zirconia Implant-Abutment Micro-Gaps
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Abstract
Background: The implant–abutment micro-gap has been investigated as a potential etiological factor for peri-implant diseases.

Purpose: The aim of this study was to determine the marginal accuracy of different zirconia CAD/CAM abutments placed on external hex implants.

Materials & methods: Twelve external hex implants (4.1 mm) were used from the same company. The samples were divided into four groups: group 1) 4 mm diameter anti-rotational (AR) stock titanium abutments (n = 4); group 2) scanned (3Series, Dental Wings) and milled (RCS-1, Röders GmbH) 4 mm diameter zirconia abutments (n = 4); group 3) scanned (3Series, Dental Wings) and milled (CNC 240, Lava) 4 mm diameter zirconia abutments (n = 4); and, group 4) two piece 4.1 mm diameter stock abutments (Ti-base, CEREC, Sirona) (n=4), and torqued according with the manufacturer’s instructions (30Ncm, 20Ncm, 20Ncm & 35 Ncm, respectively). The samples were placed in a sample holder and segmented longitudinally. The implant-abutment gap was measured in 6 different pre-determined locations using optic microscopy. Results: One-way ANOVA statistical analysis was conducted and showed that the micro-gaps in the group 1 samples were not significantly different when compared with the micro-gaps in group 4 samples. However, a significant difference (p < 0.05) was found between the micro-gaps when using titanium abutments (groups 1 & 4) compared to zirconia abutments (group 3).

Conclusion: Within the limits of this study, it was concluded that zirconia abutments cannot be milled with the same degree of precision as the metal abutments. The mechanical effects and the risk of microbial leakage of the zirconia abutment-implant interface should be evaluated in clinical research.
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Introduction
Peri-implant diseases are currently not well understood, and they occur despite the high success rates of dental implant prostheses[1-4]. The presence of a micro-gap between the implant and the abutment has been investigated as a possible etiological factor for peri-implant diseases [5]. The micro-gap is defined as a micro space between the implant and the abutment interface [6,7]. This interface is generally at the micron level and is located at the alveolar crest in implants with external hex connections. This micro-gap functions as a niche for bacteria that release inflammatory cytokines in the surrounding hard and soft tissues [8-10].

The bidirectional microleakage (from the inner part of the implant to the outer part of the implant and vice-versa) has been reported in several dental implant systems with different types of abutments [11-17]. In addition, based on various in vitro and in vivo studies, microleakage plays an important role in bacterial growth and peri-implant pathogenesis [18,19].

The microleakage decreases significantly with a 10 to 20 Ncm abutment torque increase above the value recommended by the manufacturer [20]. However, it is important to note that the incremental abutment screw torques results in a progressive decrease in the removal torques [21,22]. The zirconia abutments (ZiA) have become the abutment of choice, especially with increased aesthetic demands in patients with thin soft tissue biotype. Moreover, these posts are generally placed at a lower torque than the manufacturer recommends due to its high risk of fracture [23].
Currently, there are available CAD/CAM milled one or two-piece zirconia abutments. The one piece abutments are completely milled at a central production process by CAD/CAM technology, including the connection of the abutment to the implant. The two-piece abutment (hybrid abutments) consist of a stock titanium base on which a CAD/CAM milled Zirconia or lithium disilicate coping is cemented [24]. The use of a titanium base as the intermediate piece may have a beneficial effect on the stability of zirconia abutments, as well as a better fit. The aim of this study was to determine the vertical marginal precision milled zirconia abutments by CAD/CAM system placed on external hex implants.

Materials and Methods

Twelve external hex Easy Grip implants (prosthesis System Connection Ltd., São Paulo, Brazil), 10 mm height and 4.0 mm in diameter, were used in this study. Twelve specific abutments were used for this type of implants: group 1) Group positive control, stock titanium abutment (ConexãoSistemas de Próteses Ltda, São Paulo, Brazil); group 2) zirconia abutment, scanned in Dental Wings and milled in milling Röders; Group 3) zirconia abutment, scanned in Dental Wings and milled in Lava (3M ESPE Dental Products, Ontario, Canada); and, group 4) two-piece Ti abutment base (Sirona), scanned and milled in CEREC (Sirona Dental Systems, Bensheim, Germany).

Zirconia abutment platforms (part of the external hexagon) were scanned three-dimensionally on the implant analog. The zirconia abutments were prepared according with the shrinkage indicated by the manufacturers. The 16 abutments were tightened to 16 randomly selected implants.

Equal lengths of the catalyst paste and the base of the light silicone paste Imprint II Garant (3M ESPE Dental Products 2510 Conway Avenue, St. Paul, MN) were dispensed on a glass plate and mixed according with the manufacturer’s recommendation. The mixed silicone was immediately placed on the implant platform and the abutment was seated and screwed to the implant. The abutments were fixed to the implants by tightening the connecting prosthetic screw by means of a torque wrench. The abutment placement torque was 20 Ncm in zirconia abutments, 30 N on the stock titanium abutments and 35 Ncm in the Ti-base abutments. All abutments were placed following the manufacturer’s recommendation.

After the setting time elapsed, the excess silicone was cut with no. 15 scalpel blade (Solidor: Kyuan Suzhou Medical App. Co. Ltd China). The scalpel blade was replaced by a new one every 3 abutments to avoid tearing of the silicone addition.

The implant-abutment assembly was included in acrylic resin. After inclusion, each set was identified with numbers and letters of the alphabet (A to D). Samples were placed in a sample port and segmented through the long axis in a precision cutting machine (Isomet 4000 Linear Precision Saw, Buhler). The cutting speed used was 3000 rpm with a 1.2 mm/s feed rate under profuse cooling water.

The samples were sanded to reduce the processing marks left on the cutting interface. Sandpaper was used from the coarser to the finer grain grades (grain size 320, 400, 600 and 1200) are using an automatic sander (AROTEC AROPOL 2V, AROTEC). Each sandpaper particle size was used for 30 seconds for sanding the specimens under profuse water irrigation. Every 3 sets the abrasive silicon carbide was replaced by a new one to avoid failure during grinding. Polishing was not carried out in order to preserve most of the silicone between the implant and the abutment.

Special precautions were taken in order to minimize the angle of the sample, not to produce distortion in the gap region. These precautions included: applying a uniform pressure over the entire surface of the sample and properly positioning the sample (long axis perpendicular to the assembly direction of the disk) during the cutting procedures. A thorough washing was performed between each step, the specimens were cleaned in water and immersed in an ultrasonic tank using liquid soap.

The final samples were mounted in parallel with the table measuring microscope (Leica DM 4000, Wetzlar, Germany) to measure in two areas of adjustment to internal adaptation (100 x magnification). A series of optical micrographs were acquired throughout the length of the implant-abutment interface region. The micrographs were analyzed using the software (LAS Image Analysis, Leica Microsystems, Buffalo Grove, IL, USA) and the implant-abutment micro-gap measurements were made throughout the interfacial aspect. The interface micro-gap was evaluated by calculating the spaces between the abutment and the dental implant. The implant-abutment micro-gap was measured from 6 different locations for each implant-abutment assembly by means of optic microscopy. The outermost point on the left image is designated as a measuring point (Figure 1).

The procedure was performed on all samples and the same image acquisition and analysis were performed for each section along the implant-abutment micro-gap for each sample.

Results

Note the implant sections obtained through the experimental method showed adequate exposure of the regions evaluated in all sectional sets (Figure 2). General Observations (100x magnification) showed the presence of a micro-gaps between the implant and abutment in all samples, and communication between the internal and external connection in some regions (Figure 3). Following micrograph (Figure 2), the implant-abutment micro-gaps were easily measured by computer software (LAS Image Analysis, Leica Microsystems, Buffalo Grove, IL, USA). There was no evidence of sample distortion and the sample angulation was minimal.

The results of the analysis of variance showed that the micro-gap sizes in group 1 were not significantly different than the values
seen in group 4. However, a significant difference (p<0.05) was found between the micro-gaps of titanium abutments (groups 1 and 4) compared to zirconia abutments (group 3).

Figure 3: Microscopic image (100x magnification) showing sections taken of the implant-abutment interface of groups 1-4.
Discussion

Based on the phenomenon of Osseo integration, the dental implant based rehabilitations are a highly successful treatment modality in private practice [25-28]. While the post-surgical bone height is somewhat predictable, the crestal bone maintenance is subject to both; mechanical and microbiological factors related to the implant-abutment interface [29-41]. The magnitude of the abutment-implant gap has received significant attention and different methods have been used for these investigations [14,34,42-46].

General observation of implant-abutment sections showed that a micro-gap exists for all implants (Figure 2). The presence of a gap across the region where the implant and abutment should theoretically be in contact can compromise the short-term treatment success due to mechanical overload, screw loosening during function, and acute or chronic inflammation of the peri-implant tissues [47-52].

A technique that accurately measures the abutment-implant micro-gap was developed in order to determine differences in the four implant-abutment groups and between specific locations within each sample.

Zirconia abutments are machined before sintering, which results in approximately 20% to 25% ceramic shrinkage [53,54]. Thus, the sintering process can increase the resulting micro-gap when using ceramic abutment. Although zirconia has been shown to have lower risk of bacterial colonization when compared to titanium, the increased micro-gaps shown with the use of zirconia abutments can increase the probability of bacterial colonization, when compared to that observed with metal abutments [55,56].

Different marginal adaptations of one-piece ceramic abutments were found in the present study. The scanned abutments processing is different for each abutment brand, resulting in specific abutments sizes. Thus, the results of the present study may have been a result of both different percentages of shrinkage and scanning techniques and milling related to the brand. Specifically machined abutments with All Wings system showed better adaptation than those machined with 3Shape system.

The explanation of the existence of a micro-gap on the implant-abutment interface includes; inaccurate machining of the implant parts, excessive torque during placement of the abutment leading to distortion, improper adjustment of the male-female hex, among others. Taking into account the careful adaptation and torque applied to implants in the present study, the presence of the implant-abutment micro-gap was probably due to imprecise machining of the internal abutment hex for the implant system used. In addition to the zirconia shrinkage that occurs during sintering [53].

Conclusion

The technique described in the present study provides a broader view of the interface adaptation that exists between four different prosthetic abutments and external hex implants.

Within the limits of this study, it was shown that the zirconia abutments cannot be milled with the same degree of accuracy as the metallic abutments. The control group configuration, which featured a titanium abutment attached to a titanium implant, and the metal link group (TiBase) showed the smallest implant-abutment interface microgap.

One-piece zirconia abutment misfits can cause screw loosening, increase in the micro-gap size, and marginal bacterial infiltration. Therefore, the use of titanium or of a titanium “metallic link” in zirconia, may promote a smaller interface micro-gap, which may prevent mechanical problems. It is concluded that CAD-CAM milled abutments require further refinement. The mechanical effects of marginal implant-abutment micro-gap and risk of microbial filtration should be evaluated in clinical investigations.

Acknowledgment

The materials used in this study were donated by Conexao Prosthesis System Ltda, São Paulo, Brazil.

References


