Dear Editor

We recently came across an interesting and illustrative case that we consider important to report. A 14-year-old male patient came to our outpatient clinic with a painful lesion on his right big toe (hallux). He noticed the appearance of a small papule at the distal end of the toe about 6 months ago. Initially, he associated it with a minor trauma during a soccer match, but the lesion showed a slowly progressive enlargement, with intensification of the pain and alteration of the nail plate.

He was seen at the basic health unit by the family physician. A common wart was diagnosed and a chemical cauterization with 70% trichloroacetic acid was performed. Since the procedure was unsuccessful, he was referred to a dermatologist.

During the clinical-dermatological examination, we identified a nodule emerging from the nail bed. Its surface showed keratosis surrounding central erosion. The height of the lesion determined the lifting of the nail plate.

History and clinical examination made it possible to formulate the diagnostic hypothesis of subungual osteochondroma, and, as differential diagnosis, exostosis, pyogenic granuloma and common wart.

X-ray examination of the affected foot/toe confirmed the diagnosis of subungual osteochondroma. The patient was referred to a foot specialist orthopedist for surgical treatment.

Although osteochondromas are the most common bone tumors, representing 10 to 15% of the totality, subungual osteochondroma is quite rare [1-4]. It is a benign osteocartilaginous tumor that usually involves the phalanges of the toes or fingers, affecting most commonly the distal phalanx of hallux [4].

The first description is attributed to Dupuytren, in 1817. The author described it as a bony outgrowth of the distal phalanx [3]. Subungual osteochondromas occur mainly in adolescents and young adults. Although there are some discordant works, most authors found an equivalence between the sexes [2,3]. The most common topography of involvement is the distal phalanx of the hallux, however it can occur on any finger or toe [4-6].

Etiology of the osteochondromas is still unknown [4]. It is considered a developmental lesion rather than a true neoplasm [7]. Some consider it to be a reactive metaplasia resulting from microtrauma, others to be a congenital subclinical lesion that grows and becomes clinically relevant over the years, but the fact is that there is no conclusive evidence in the literature to support a particular pathogenesis [1,4,8,9].

Clinically, subungual osteochondromas present as firm solitary erythematous-nodule, usually small, projecting from the free edge of the nail. The overlying nail may become brittle and may be lifted or become detached [1,2]. It may be asymptomatic, but usually patients refer pain and oppression in the affected finger or toe [10].

Subungual osteochondroma is often misdiagnosed because of its rare incidence and similarity to a number of nail, soft tissue, and bony pathologies [3].

The diagnosis can be confirmed by clinic examination and simple radiography in dorsopalmar and oblique projections. Still, gold standard for the diagnosis remains the histopathology exam [2].

Differential diagnosis should be made with exostosis, fibromas, warts, pyogenic granuloma, keratoacanthoma, glomus tumor, myositis ossificans, squamous cell carcinoma and melanoma [1-3].

Surgical excision is the treatment of choice. Recurrence rates are significant; however they are directly linked to the technique, usually due to incomplete lesion removal.
Figure 1: Small nodule arising from the nail bed, causing onycholysis of the nail plate

Figure 2: Dermoscopy of the nail free edge showing a hyperkeratosis surrounding a central eroded area, along with onicolisis of the central area of the nail plate.

Figure 3: X-Ray examination showed a sessile image by expansion of the trabecular bone from the epiphyseal region of the distal phalanx of the right hallux.
With this case, we want to draw attention of the dermatologist and even the general practitioner to the subungual osteochondroma that, although uncommon, can be seen in clinical practice. We would like to emphasize the importance of a careful history, meticulous examination, adequate confirmation through imaging and treatment in a multidisciplinary approach.
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