The Skin Barrier in Patients with Lichen Simplex Chronicus

Georgieva F, *Bakardzhiev I

Department of Dermatology and Venerology, Medical University of Varna, Medical University of Varna

Received: May 10, 2016; Accepted: May 20, 2016; Published: May 27, 2016

***Corresponding author:** Assoc. Prof. Ilko Bakardzhiev, Medical College, Medical University of Varna, Tsar Osvo boditel 84, Bulgaria, Tel: +359 888 768 413; E-Mail: varna2008@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: The main function of the skin is to protect body from environmental factors. The intact skin is a barrier to the uncontrolled water loss, proteins and plasma components from the organism. Lichen Simplex Chronicus (LSH) is a common extremely scratching disease. Pruritus elicits a scratch response, initiating the itch-scratch cycle, which in turn aggravate the inflammatory response and damaged the normal epidermal barrier status.

Objective: The purpose of this study is to evaluate changes in barrier functions of skin and distinguish its role in pathogenesis of LSH. **Materials and Methods:** Transepidermal Water Loss (TEWL) and Hydration (H) of epidermis in healthy and damaged skin were measured in 56 non-hospitalized patients.

Results: The most visible pathological changes were found in patients with duration of LSH 19-24 months-TEWL mean 27.30g.m2.h and H mean 23.15 (p=0.003). Strong correlation between pathological levels of TEWL and H and severity of disease was obtained. Thus in group of patients stage II TEWL was mean 31.22g.m2.h, while in group stage I TEWL was mean 16.23g.m2.h. (p=0.005) Similar were results from measurement of H: in group of patients stage III H was mean 20.25, while in group stage I H was mean 28.46 (p=0.003).

Conclusion: All the reported and analyzed results indicate that disorders of skin barrier are connected with severity and duration of LSH. This is the first study in our country, which aims to measure the impact of changes in skin barrier on clinical characteristics of LSC.

Keywords: Lichen Simplex Chronicus; Skin Barrier Evaluation; TEWL; Hydration

and characteristic of LSH disease.

Material and Methods

Settings and sample

The study was conducted among 56 non-hospitalized patients (35 female and 21 male; mean age 49.46 years; range 29-64 years) who visit dermatology unit in medical center "Medeia" between January 2013 and January 2015. Patients has the following inclusion criteria: one or more lichen plaques, highly pruritic, accumulation of normal skin lines, excoriations. Diagnosis was based on clinical observation and dates from patient's history. Socio demographic data are shown in *table 1*. The characteristics connected to the disease (duration and severity) are shown on

Table 1: Socio demographic data.

	Patients
Age	mean age 49.46 years; range 29-64 years
Gender Male	21-37.5%
Female	35-62.5%
Employment	
Employed	36- 64.28%
Unemployed	15-26.78%
Students	2-3.57%
Retiree	3-5.35%
Education Primary	5-8.92%
Secondary	14-25%
High	37-66.07%

Introduction

Lichen Simplex Chronicus (LSH) is a common skin disorder characterized by lichenification of skin as a result of excessive scratching [1] LSH is distributed worldwide and affects adults with a mild preference for female [2]. Pathogenesis of this dermatosis is not well distinguished. Disorders of skin barrier are described as a trigger or enhance pathological symptoms of LSH [3]. There is an altered skin barrier with varying combination of allergens, irritants and skin pathogens that result in a changed immuno regulatory process [4]. This study explores the possible correlation or predomination of changes in skin barrier function

Table 2: Disease duration.

Disease duration in months	Frequency (%)	
12	40.5 34.2 10.0 11.6 96.3 3.7	
13-24		
25 - 36		
37+		
total		
Don't know		
total	56	100.0

Table 3: Disease severity.

Stage of disease severity	Frequency (%)	
Stage 0	0	
Stage I	0.52	
Stage II	21.57	
Stage III	62.10	
Stage IV	10.52	
Total	56	100.0

Table 4: Distribution of measuring points.

Localization of measuring points				Frequency
Damaged	Distal zone			
Nuchal areas	Interior hands	surface of		14 (25%)
Ankles	Interior hands	surface of		11 (19, 65%)
Anterior tibial	Interior hands	surface of		9 (16, 09%)
Extensor forearms	surface of	Interior hands	surface of	7 (12, 5%)
Inner thighs	Interior hands	surface of		3 (5, 4%)
Anogenital area	Interior hands	surface of		12 (21, 43%)

Table 2 and Table 3.

Measures

We used instrumental methods, including, measuring H and TEWL in healthy and damaged skin to evaluate the functioning of Stratum Corneum (SC). The degree of H was measured with a capacitance meter (Corneometer CM 825), and the TEWL was determined using a measurement instrument Tewameter® TM 300. The areas examined were closely and in distance of the pathologic lesions. The exact localization of measuring points are shown on table 4.

The severity of the disease was evaluated by EASI score. (Eczema Area and Severity Index) [5]. According to this scale patients were divided in 5 groups. Stage 0 without skin changes; Stage 1 up to 29% of skin surface is damaged, Stage 2 up to 49% of skin surface is damaged, Stage 3 up to 69% of skin surface is damaged, Stage 4 more than 69% of skin surface is damaged.

The statistical analysis was performed with SPSS v.21.0 for Windows. Hypotheses were tested using χ^2 -criteria (for the descriptive profile data). Construct validity was tested by factor analysis. Results with $p < 0.001$ were interpreted as statistically significant

Results And Discussion

The results obtained from measuring TEWL in healthy and damaged skin show that the levels of TEWL in healthy skin are normal (0-15g.m2.h/ range from 8g.m2.h to 17.8g.m2.h.) The levels of TEWL in damaged skin were higher. The pathological changes were more visible in patients from age group 56+ -

range 20-32 (mean 27.2) and in group 46-50 years range 19-38 (mean 27.88). There were no significant differences in patients from different age groups. We compare the TEWL dividing patients according the duration of the disease. The pathological changes were more visible in patients with duration of LSH 19-24 months- mean 27.30g.m2.h and more than 24 months from 20g.m2.h to 32g.m2.h-mean 27g.m2.h. ($p = 0.003$). Most distinct trend for correlation was accounted comparing TEWL in pathological skin and severity of disease. In group of patients stage II TEWL was mean 31.22g.m2.h, while in group stage I TEWL was mean 16.23g.m2.h. ($p = 0.005$).

The results obtained from measuring H in healthy skin are normal (26.07/ range from 20 to 30.) The levels of H in damaged skin were lower (23.32/ range from 10 to 30.). The pathological changes show no differences compare to the age of participants. Also we compare the H dividing patients according the duration of the disease. The pathological changes were more visible in patients with duration of LSH 19-24 months- mean 23.15 and more than 24 months 18.75 ($p = 0.008$) Most distinct trend for correlation was accounted comparing H in pathological skin and severity of disease. In group of patients stage III H was mean 20.25, while in group stage I H was mean 28.46 ($p = 0.003$)

The results from measuring TEWL and H are shown in table 5 (according age), table 6 (according duration) and table 7 (according stage).

Discussion

An understanding of the structure, the integrity and function of the stratum corneum of the skin is closely connected with pathogenesis of several dermatoses [6]. Many authors point out that in dermatoses with a clinical picture of extreme dryness and scratching there are the changes in skin barrier [7, 8, 9]. Clinical picture of LSC is represented by areas with dry, itchy skin [10]. Greaves (2010) argues that the main symptom of LSC - itch- causes constant scratching, which leads to additional physical disturbance of the integrity of the surface layer of the epidermis, and to further dysfunction of the skin barrier [11]. Information about the state of the lipid matrix, and consequently to the integrity of skin barrier under various conditions gives the measurement of TEWL and H of stratum corneum [12]. Darlenski et al (2009) reported that quantitative indicators such TEWL, H of the stratum corneum and the pH of the skin surface are reliable, non-invasive techniques for monitoring the physical properties of the skin barrier *in vivo* [13]. All this give us grounds to assess the levels of damage of the skin barrier by measuring TEWL, and H of stratum corneum of the skin. Measurement in two zones (healthy and damaged skin) allow us to define the role of the skin barrier status in pathogenesis of LSC. All these scientific facts correlate closely with export results in our study.

Bouwstra and associates (2006) reported a correlation between clinical characteristics of skin diseases and changes in barrier function [14]. Other authors found no statistically significant relationship between disturbed homeostasis of the skin barrier and the expression of the disease symptoms [15]. The results from our study show the presence of such dependence

Table 5: The results from measuring TEWL and H according age.

	29-35	36-40	41-45	46-50	51-55	56-
hydration healthy skin	35.3	38	37	34.11	32.22	24.4
hydration damage skin	26	27.4	26.4	25.11	25.77	18.4
TEWL healthy skin	11.88	8	12	12.88	12.11	17.8
TEWL damaged	23.55	14.8	20	27.88	21.88	27.2

Table 6: The results from measuring TEWL and H according duration.

	6 months	7- 12m	13- 18m	19- 24 m	more 24 m
hydration healthy skin	34.25	34.4	37.71	32.15	28
hydration damage skin	28.12	27.1	25.28	23.15	18.75
TEWL healthy	10.57	13	12.71	15.23	17.8
TEWL damage	16.42	20.75	22.85	27.3	27

Table 7: The results from measuring TEWL and H according stage.

	stagel	stagell	stagelll
hydration healthy skin	37.38	33.17	30.16
hydration damage skin	28.46	25.88	20.25
TEWL healthy	9.76	14.9	16.66
TEWL damage	16.23	24.1	31.22

in dividing patients by disease severity. Thus, in patients with moderate severity of disease mean value of TEWL was 31.22 g / m² / h, while those with mild severity of disease have mean value of 16.23 g / m² / h.) (p = 0.009). Regarding the indicator H in patients with moderate disease severity H in pathological lesion was reported a mean of 25.88 units, while those with mild severity of disease account mean 28.46 units) (p = 0.048) At the same time dividing patients according the duration of disease showed small differences between different groups. As the most significant TEWL near pathological lesion was reported in patients with disease duration of 19-24 months mean value of 27.30 g / m² / h and those with duration longer than 24 months - .mean value of '20 / m² / h to 32 g / m² / h (P = 0.003) Low levels of H in the area to pathological lesions were measured in patients with disease duration of 19-24 months- (median 23.15 units) and those lasting longer than 24 months .from - 15 units to 22 units. (mean18. 75 units) (p = 0.001).

6 In 1985 Werner and associates published data for increase TEWL in healthy and pathological skin in a study of patients with atopic dermatitis. As a result, the authors presume primary defect in the epidermal barrier [16] Our results showed that from all included in the study patients only 30.95% had pathology in

skin barrier(increased TEWL and decreased H) in measuring of healthy skin stretch. Disturbed pathological function of skin barrier (increased TEWL and reduced H) in measuring of damaged skin was reported in 85.71%. These results questioned the existence of a primary defect in the epidermal barrier and its leading role in unlocking LSC. The correlation between the degree of damage of the skin barrier and severity of the disease give reason to assume that itch aggravate the disease and leads to the dysfunction of barrier homeostasis.

Conclusion

The absence of correlation between changes in hydration and TEWL and some of the characteristics connected to the disease means that in patients suffering from LSH there are different independent pathological ways of development of illness. Disorders of skin barrier could be described as a trigger only of pruritoceptive pruritus in LSC. This study does not include monitoring of patients before and after treatment but the results suggest that the inclusion of local therapy which improves the quality of skin barrier would have a good therapeutic effect.

References

- Lotti T, Buggiani G, Prignano F. Prurigo nodularis and lichen simplex chronicus. *Dermatologic Therapy*. 2008;21:42-46. doi:10.1111/j.1529-8019.2008.00168.x.
- Martin-Braufau R, Corbalan-Berna J, Ramirez-Andrero A, Braufau-Redondo C, Limlnana-Gras R. Personality differences between patients with lichen simplex chronicus and normal population: A study of pruritus. *Eur J Dermatol*. 2010;20(3):359-363. doi:10.1684/ejd.2010.0961.
- Yosipovitch G, Samuel LS. Neuropathic and psychogenic itch. *Dermatol Ther*. 2008;21(1):32-41. doi:10.1111/j.1529-8019.2008.00167.x.
- Proksch E, Fölster-Holst R, Jensen JM. Skin barrier function, epidermal proliferation and differentiation in eczema. *Journal of dermatological science*. 2006;43(3):159-169.
- Serup J, Gregor BE, Jemec, Gary L Grove. *Handbook of non-invasive methods and the skin*. 2nd ed. CRC press, 2006.
- Scuplein RJ, Blank IH. Permeability and the skin. *Physiol Reviews*. 1971;51(4):702-747
- Fitzpatrick's *Dermatology in General Medicine* 6th edition: 2002:1:1164-1209
- Madison KC, Swartzendruber DC, Wertz PW, Downing DT. Presence of intact intercellular lipid lamellae in the upper layers of the stratum corneum. *J Invest Dermatol*. 2007;88(6):714-718.
- Williams ML. Lipids in normal and pathological desquamation. In: Elias PM, ed. *Skin Lipids*, Vol. 24, New York, NY: Academic Press. 2011:211-262.
- James, Berger, Elston. *Andrews' Diseases of the Skin*. Saunders Elsevier. 10th ed. 2006.
- Greaves MW. Pathogenesis and treatment of pruritus. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep*. 2010;10(4):236-242. doi:10.1007/s11882-010-0117-z.
- Seidenari S, Framcomano M, Mantovani L. Baseline Biophysical parameters in subjects with sensitive skin Contact Dermatitis. 2008;38(6):311-315.
- Darlenski R, Sassing S, Tsankov N, Fluhr JW. Non-invasive in vivo

- methods for investigation of the skin barrier physical properties. Eur J Pharm Biopharm. 2009;72(2):295-303. doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2008.11.013.
14. Bouwstra JA, Ponc M. The skin barrier in healthy and disease state. BiochimBiophys Acta. 2006;1758(12):2080-2095.
15. Grubauer G, Elias PM, Feingold KR. Transepidermal water loss; the signal for recovery of barrier structure and function. J Lipid Res. 1989;30(3):323-333.
16. Werner Y, Lindberg M. Transepidermal water loss in dry and clinically normal skin in patients with atopic dermatit. ActaDermVenereol. 1985;65(2):102-105.