Preoperative Trans-Arterial Embolization and Surgical Resection for Giant Liver Hemangioma: A Multidisciplinary Approach
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Abstract

A 47-year-old male presenting with abdominal distension and pain was found to have a giant hemangioma of the liver measuring 22cm in its greatest diameter upon preoperative MRI. The patient underwent preoperative trans-arterial embolization (TAE) of the hemangioma, followed by extended right hepatectomy for definitive treatment. This case illustrates a novel multidisciplinary approach to the treatment of a massive liver hemangioma. We propose a new classification of these >20 cm hemangiomas that require preoperative TAE as "Super Hemangiomas."

Introduction

Hemangiomas are the most common benign liver tumors and the second most common overall, with a prevalence of 0.4-7.3% found at autopsy, although other studies have suggested the prevalence of clinically relevant hemangiomas is 0.7-1.5% [1-3]. Liver hemangiomas can be characterized as normal or giant based on diameter, although there is no consensus regarding classification. This is reflected in the current literature, with variable definitions of "giant" ranging from upwards of 4 to 10cm in diameter [4-10].

Most hemangiomas are asymptomatic, found incidentally, and remain stable. In a large retrospective cross sectional study, Mocchegiani et al. reported that in patients with hepatic hemangiomas (size 67.3 ± 20.3 mm) with long term follow-up (mean 76.6 ± 23.8 months), 75.6% remained stable in size [11]. Notably, in their group of 910 patients with hemangiomas < 4 cm, all remained asymptomatic. However, patients may present with symptoms due to the hemangioma in 11% of cases, with abdominal pain being the most common [12]. Complications from hemangiomas include hemorrhage, compression of adjacent organs, or spontaneous rupture, which may develop in hemangiomas > 4cm in 3.2% of cases [11, 13, 14]. Although traditionally treated with surgical resection or enucleation alone, recent treatment modalities include trans-arterial embolization (TAE), radiotherapy, radiofrequency ablation, transplant, chemotherapy, or multi-modal therapy [13]. Trans-arterial embolization has been proposed as a treatment option in giant symptomatic hemangiomas, both alone and in combination with resection [15-23].

We present a patient with a 22 cm giant hemangioma of the liver treated with preoperative trans-arterial embolization, and surgical resection the following day. This multidisciplinary approach was chosen in an attempt to mitigate potential blood loss and facilitate ease of hepatic transection. This case report is one of few demonstrating this approach in a giant liver hemangioma.

Case Report

A 47-year-old male presented to his primary care physician with abdominal distension and pain. A CT demonstrated a 22 cm giant hemangioma of the liver (Figure 1-2). He was subsequently referred to Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey for further evaluation. Due to the size of the hemangioma and anatomical location, resection with pre-operative trans-arterial embolization was considered to be his best treatment option.

One day prior to surgical resection, the patient underwent trans-arterial embolization of the hemangioma. After proper arteriograms of the liver were obtained (Figures 3-5), branches 1-4 of the right hepatic artery were embolized with 45-150 um polyvinyl alcohol particles (PVA) without complication.

An extended right hepatectomy including cholecystectomy and intraoperative ultrasound of the liver was performed.
Upon inspection of the abdomen, the large hemangioma was anteriorly located within the liver and displacing the colon and intra-abdominal organs inferiorly. The hemangioma appeared ischemic, consistent with the embolization the day prior. The left liver had no palpable lesions, but had hypertrophied in response to the large hemangioma.

The liver was partially mobilized by taking down the falciform
ligament and the left triangular ligament. And intraoperative ultrasound of the liver revealed no other evidence of intrahepatic lesions. The right liver was mobilized from its retroperitoneal and diaphragmatic attachments. The hemangioma occupied all of segments 4, 6, and 8, and there was a portion that extended across Cantlie’s line into segment 4B. Most of segment 4A was spared, as well as segment 7. The small venous branches of the caudate lobe were ligated to the level of the inferior vena cava. The vena caval ligament was taken down with a vascular stapler. Due to limited exposure of the right hepatic vein, it was decided to take the right hepatic vein from inside the liver. The porta hepatis was dissected, and a cholecystectomy was performed. The right hepatic artery dissected and ligated, and a bulldog clamp was placed on the right portal vein.
We performed the parenchymal transection using a clamp-crush technique. Intra-hepatic vessels were sealed with a vessel sealing device (Ligasure) and smaller blood vessels were controlled with Aquamants bipolar cautery device. The main right pedicle as well as the remaining hepatic parenchyma was divided with a vascular stapler. Once the specimen was removed (Figure 6), hemostasis was maintained. There were no complications during the operation, and the estimated blood loss was 750 mL. The patient was discharged on postoperative day 7 after an unremarkable postoperative course.

**Discussion**

The management of liver hemangiomas can vary from observation to surgical resection, enucleation, trans-arterial ablation, radiotherapy, radiofrequency ablation, transplant, chemotherapy, or multi-modal therapy [13]. In our opinion, several patient factors that influence the outcome of the treatment of a massive liver hemangioma include: liver disease, prior liver surgery, co-morbidities, functional status and compliance. According to the American College of Gastroenterology, treatment can be considered when the hemangioma is > 10cm in size, with symptomatic compression of organs or vessels, or with pain or impaired quality of life [14]. Clinically relevant symptoms include abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, dyspepsia, early satiety, jaundice, and weight gain, with pain being the most common symptom due to liver capsule distension [24, 25]. One study reported that 50% of patients found to have a liver hemangioma were referred due to abdominal pain, although only 12.6% of those patients had pain attributable to the hemangioma itself [26]. Thus, it is important to investigate patients for co-morbidities such as peptic ulcer disease or inflammatory bowel disease before proceeding with interventions; as symptoms persist in many patients despite treatment [27].

There remains no consensus regarding the surgical management of giant hemangiomas, especially those with a size >10cm [8]. Some authors have claimed that size should not be an absolute indication for surgery, as a study done by Terkivaten et al. reported symptoms in 12 patients with hemangiomas > 4cm either...
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...tently that the largest hemangioma treated conservatively during this study was 11 cm. Other author's advocate for prophylactic treatment of asymptomatic hemangiomas > 10cm, as they pose a greater risk of hemorrhage, growth, or rupture. In a recent study by Mocchegiani et al., rupture occurred in 5/157 patients (3.2%) with a hemangioma > 4cm [11]. These results highlight the risks associated with conservative treatment of larger hemangiomas. Two common procedures for surgical intervention include enucleation and resection. Until recently, authors reported that enucleation was associated with decreased morbidity, intraoperative bleeding, hospital stay, and operative times [29-32]. Nevertheless, these studies included few patients with hemangiomas >10cm. A more recent study in 2015 by Zhang et al. investigating 86 patients with giant hemangiomas >10cm treated with either enucleation or resection reported similar blood loss, blood product usage, operative time, hepatic vascular occlusion time and frequency, and complications between the two procedures [25]. These finding were in agreement with a similar study by Ulas et al. [33]. Enucleation remains a useful treatment option for both peripherally and centrally located hemangiomas, but with central hemangiomas the procedure was associated with significantly longer vascular inflow occlusion time, increased operating time, and more blood transfusion [25]. For this reason, surgical resection remains an attractive option if the hemangioma is deeply located in the liver parenchyma, occupies an entire lobe, or does not have a free surface from Glisson’s capsule [13]. Trans-arterial embolization has been proposed as a treatment option in giant symptomatic hemangiomas, both alone and in combination with resection [15-22]. In a study of 98 patients with a hemangioma >5cm, TAE monotherapy resulted in resolution of pain and distension in 87% of patients with baseline symptoms, with partial reduction in the other 13% [22]. The diameter of the hemangiomas also decreased from a mean of 9.7cm to 3cm. These results were compatible with study by Firouznia et al. [18]. Risks associated with TAE include pain, inoperability, non-target embolization, bile duct injury, pyrexia, leukocytosis, and nausea [15, 19, 34, 35]. When performed before surgical resection, TAE can improve hepatic mobility and reduce intraoperative blood loss [19, 20, 23]. Due to the risks associated with TAE and a possible inflammation in the portal triad, some authors advocate for surgical resection either on the same day or within days of the embolization [19, 21, 34]. Conversely, Zhou et al. argue that the timing of surgery should be based on close follow-up with the surgeon and interval imaging, ensuring that the hemangioma has decreased in size [23].

In our case, TAE followed by surgical resection the following day was determined to be the best treatment option after discussion with a multidisciplinary board. The hemangioma decreased in size from 22 cm to 16 cm, greatly facilitating the mobilization of the right liver, which would have been quite challenging without that degree of shrinkage. There were no complications following TAE such as pain or pyrexia. Furthermore, there was only mild blood loss for a hemangioma of this size. Our outcomes are consistent with those from Vassiou et al., Bailey et al., and Kayan et al, who performed a similar technique with minimal blood loss, improved mobilization, and without inflow vascular occlusion [19-21]. Our quantified blood loss was also similar to the 400 mL reported by Zhou et al, who also reported a much longer post-operative stay than our patient (16 days vs. 7 days) [23]. We believe that TAE alone would not be an appropriate treatment option for this patient due to the side effects of TAE monotherapy, risk of future hemangioma complications, and insufficient evidence the patient’s symptoms would resolve.

**Conclusion**

Based on our experience we believe that hemangiomas greater than 20 cm pose a significantly greater set of complexities regarding resection pertaining to blood loss and proper exposure and deserve of a sub classification we would term “Super Hemangiomas” and should be approached with TAE first followed by resection.
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