Omental Patch Repair for Duodenal Ulcer Perforation- Analysis of Factors Affecting Outcome: A Prospective Study
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Abstract

Background/Objectives: Primary repair of duodenal perforations with the omental patch technique has re-emerged as the mainstay of treatment of this widely-prevalent condition, especially in our country. We have prospectively studied factors affecting outcome (morbidity, mortality) of duodenal ulcer perforations treated by omental patch technique, and have also attempted to study the efficacy of contemporary scoring systems (Haceteppe, APACHE II, Mannheim Peritonitis Index, Jabalpur score) in predicting outcome.

Methods: One hundred consecutive patients of non-traumatic duodenal ulcer perforations (NTDUP) over a 2-year period were recruited for this prospective study at a tertiary care hospital in Delhi, India. Clinical and laboratory data, and, outcome, were recorded; all patients were treated with omental patch repair.

Results: In 100 patients, there were 97 male (mean age= 40.8 ± 14.2 years) and 3 female (mean age= 38.3 ± 12.6). Fourteen patients recovered completely, 86 had complications; of the latter five died. Age, serum creatinine, and perforation size were associated with unfavourable outcome (p=0.015, 0.000, 0.013 respectively; students t-test). Presence of hypotension, serum creatinine, perforation-operation interval (PO), and perforation size, were associated with mortality alone (p=0.000, 0.001, 0.001, 0.000 respectively; student’s t-test). Age, serum creatinine, PO, and perforation size had correlation with longer hospital stay (p=0.044, 0.005, 0.012, 0.003 respectively; Pearson’s coefficient). Using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves, only the APACHE II and the Jabalpur scoring systems accurately predicted poor outcome.

Conclusions: Omental patch is a safe and effective method of closure of duodenal perforations. Other procedures may be combined if high-risk factors are identified, e.g., large perforation size. The Jabalpur score is a simple tool in large emergency rooms with limited facilities.

Introduction

The two most common causes of secondary peritonitis are small bowel and gastroduodenal perforation, especially in the developing world. In many studies, duodenal perforation is the most common cause [1-5].

At some point of time, omental patch repair of duodenal ulcer perforations was replaced by definitive acid-reduction procedures because of the high rates of recurrence. But with rapid and vast improvements in proton pump inhibitory agents, and, efficacious anti-Helicobacter pylori regimens, this may no longer be true [6-8]. Omental patch repair of duodenal ulcer perforation is both simpler than and as effective as definitive ulcer surgery in the emergency situation [9]. Perforations larger than 3 cms have also been reported to be successfully repaired with this technique [10]. All these considerations have led to the resurgence of this technique.

Mortality due to ulcer perforation treated by simple closure and/or other methods is still around 10% [11-13]. Factors reported to affect mortality in duodenal ulcer perforations in other series are old age, co-morbidity, preoperative hypotension, large size of the perforation, delay in presentation, and, delay in operation [14-18].

This prospective study was designed to study the morbidity and mortality in patients with non-traumatic duodenal ulcer perforation (NTDUP) treated by omental patch repair at a tertiary care centre in north India, and to correlate clinical, laboratory, and, operative factors with outcome. The efficacy of contemporary scoring systems (Haceteppe, APACHE II, Mannheim Peritonitis Index, Jabalpur score) in predicting outcome in our patients was also assessed.
Methods

This prospective study was carried out in 100 consecutive patients operated for duodenal ulcer perforation over a period of 2 years in the Department of Surgery of a tertiary teaching hospital in Delhi, India. All patients above 18 years of age with non-traumatic duodenal ulcer perforation were included in the study. Patients who underwent an operation outside our hospital and were referred to us for tertiary care (treatment of complications, ventilatory support etc.) were excluded.

The parameters recorded were time of onset of disease, chronicity of pain, history of ulcerogenic agents (smoking, alcohol, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, steroids), and, history of co-morbid conditions. In all patients, the pulse rate, respiratory rate, and, the blood pressure, at presentation, were recorded. The incidence of hypotension (mean arterial blood pressure < 60 mmHg) was also recorded. At admission, the following investigations were performed, i.e., haemoglobin, hematocrit, total leucocyte count, random blood sugar, blood urea, serum creatinine, serum electrolytes, serum albumin, chest and abdominal radiographs, electrocardiogram and arterial blood gas.

The admitted patients were adequately resuscitated and prepared for operation. A broad-spectrum third-generation cephalosporin with metronidazole was administered intravenously in all. A central venous line was inserted whenever deemed necessary. At exploration, the findings recorded were extent of peritonitis – localized or generalised, the site and size of the perforation. The peritoneal fluid was sent for microscopy, culture and sensitivity. The perforation was closed transversely with interrupted 2-0 polygalactin sutures after placing an omental patch over the perforation. Adequate drains were inserted after thorough peritoneal lavage.

The Hacettepe, APACHE-II, Mannheim Peritonitis Index (MPI), and Jabalpur Scores were calculated for all the patients from their examination findings and investigations.

Postoperative complications, if any, were recorded in all patients. The outcome in each patient was recorded as survival, survival with complications, or, death.

Length of hospital stay was calculated from the day of admission to the day of discharge or death of the patient. Patients were discharged when they were afebrile for at least 48 hours and when they accepted oral diet satisfactorily.

Results

One hundred consecutive patients of non-traumatic duodenal ulcer perforation (NTDUP) during the study period (October 2004 to November 2006) were analyzed.

Age and gender

Perforation of the duodenum was seen in all age groups from the second to the seventh decade. The highest incidence was observed in the third to the sixth decade. The mean age of patients was 40.7±14.15 years.

There were 97 male and 3 female patients. The mean age of the male patients was 40.77±14.25 and a female patient was 38.33±12.58 years. The male:female ratio was 32:1.

Predisposing factors of NTDUP

Eighty six percent of the patients were chronic smokers, mainly smoking 'bidi' (average 20/ day). Fifty-four patients had history of intake of alcohol for more than 5 years and out of these, 52 (96.3%) were smokers too. Fifty three percent of patients gave a history of long standing abdominal pain suggesting chronic nature of the ulcer. Two percent of the patients were taking steroids for the last 6 months and 34% patients gave a history of taking non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS) occasionally during the last two weeks.

Co-morbid conditions

Two patients had associated respiratory tract ailments (COPD and bronchial asthma). One patient had history suggestive of coronary artery disease and one had diabetes mellitus.

Nine patients presented to the surgical emergency with hypotension, a mean arterial pressure (MAP) of < 60 mm of Hg.

Ninety one percent of the patients presented and were operated upon more than 24 hours after onset of symptoms of peritonitis and 51% presented and were operated after 48 hours. The total leucocyte count was raised in 27% of the patients. Serum creatinine was estimated in all patients. It was raised (>1.2 mg/dl) in 24 patients.

Peritoneal cultures were positive in 15 patients. The organisms isolated were Escherichia coli in 11 patients, Streptococcus pyogenes in 2 patients, and, Citrobacter spp. and Staphylococcus aureus each, in 1 patient.

In 74 patients the size of the perforation was less than 0.5 cm, in 28 patients it was between 0.5 and 1 cm, and, in 8 patients it was more than 1 cm.

Outcome (Morbidity and Mortality)

Fourteen patients had an uneventful recovery. Eighty one patients suffered from one or more complications. Five patients died, within 30 days of operation. All the patients who died had a perforation–operation interval of more than 48 hours (mean 108 hrs). Three of these five patients had unrecordable blood pressure at admission. Three patients had large ulcers (1.5 cms in size) and two of these leaked in the postoperative period.
Postoperative complications

Surgical site infections (SSI) developed in 84 patients. Thirty-six patients had complete wound dehiscence which required secondary suturing. Intraabdominal abscess developed in 7 patients, out of which 2 patient's required operative drainage. Four patients had leak from the primary site (Table 1). Six patients were re-operated (four for leak, two for intraabdominal abscess). In patients who had leaked, resuturing of the perforation, lavage, and feeding jejunostomy was done in three, and, gastrojejunostomy in one patient. Four of these six reoperated patients had large ulcers (1.5 cm). Two patients, who were re-operated, had unrecordable blood pressure at admission.

<p>| Table 1: Incidence of postoperative complications |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wound infection</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound dehiscence</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory infection</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraabdominal abscess</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leak from primary site</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal (GI) hemorrhage</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital infarction</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Period of hospital stay

The majority of patients were discharged within 14 days after surgery. Only 2 patients had prolonged hospital stay (>28 days) due to re-operation.

Statistical Analysis

The relationship between factors like age, presence of hypotenison, serum creatinine, white blood counts, perforation-operation interval and size of perforation, and, outcome of treatment was statistically analyzed using unpaired student t-test. The results are shown in Table 2.

As seen from the table there was a significant difference (p=0.015) in the mean age in the 86 patients who had morbidity or mortality (41.81 yrs) as compared to the 14 patients who went home without any complications (33.86 yrs). Similarly the mean serum creatinine in patients with complications or mortality was 1.348 mg/dL, which was significantly (p=0.000) higher than values in patients who had no complications (0.957 mg/dL). A statistically significant difference was also observed in the mean size of the perforation (0.54 cm in patients with no complications or death and 0.71 cm in those who had complications or died, p=0.013). Though the mean arterial pressure was lower and the white cell counts higher in patients with poorer outcome, these differences did not reach statistical significance. All the deaths occurred in patients who presented late (> 48 hours) to the hospital. No significant difference was observed between the two groups with regard to perforation-operation interval.

Chi-Square & Fisher’s exact test was used to analyze qualitative data, i.e., the distribution of female patients, presence of co-morbid disease and history of chronic pain. No significant differences were found between the two groups.

| Table 2: Factors affecting morbidity and mortality (unpaired students t-test) |
| Variable                                      | Patients with uneventful recovery (n=14) | Patients with complications or death (n=86) | p value |
| Mean   | SD    | Mean   | SD    |
| Age (yrs) | 33.86 | 9.719  | 41.81 | 14.481 | 0.015* |
| MAP** (mmHg) | 83.5  | 11.278 | 77.9  | 26.288 | 0.435 |
| S. creatinine (mg%) | 0.957 | 0.1785 | 1.348 | 0.7069 | 0.000* |
| White cell count (mm3) | 7100 | 2921.209 | 11513.44 | 12990.12 | 0.21 |
| P-O interval^ (hrs) | 46.07 | 18.244 | 55.01 | 33.342 | 0.331 |
| Size of perforation (cm) | 0.529 | 0.2128 | 0.709 | 0.3296 | 0.013* |

Relationship of various factors with mortality alone

A similar analysis done in the group of patients who died (Table 3) revealed that there were statistically significant differences in the mean arterial pressure, serum creatinine, size of the perforation and the perforation-operation interval, as compared to the patients who survived.

Among the qualitative factors, only the presence of co-morbid disease had significant (p=0.012) influence on the mortality.

| Table 3: Relationship of various factors with mortality alone (unpaired student's t-test) |
| Variable                                      | Survived (n=95) | Expired (n=5) | p-value |
| Mean   | SD    | Mean   | SD    |
| Age (yrs) | 40.58 | 14.24  | 43    | 13.49  | 0.711 |
| MAP** (mmHg) | 81.11 | 20.98  | 32.6  | 45.37  | 0.000* |
| S. creatinine (mg%) | 1.24  | 0.59   | 2.24  | 1.34   | 0.001* |
| White cell counts (mm3) | 10941.64 | 12427.84 | 10020 | 6445.31 | 0.87 |
| P-O interval^ (hrs) | 51.31 | 29.44  | 100.4 | 41.48  | 0.001* |
| Size (cm) | 0.658 | 0.294  | 1.18  | 0.438  | 0.000* |

*Significant **mean arterial pressure ^perforation-operation interval
Relationship of various factors with hospital stay

Using Pearson correlation of various factors with the length of hospital stay (Table 4), it was observed that age, serum creatinine, P-O interval and size of perforation had a significant positive correlation with the length of hospital stay.

On stepwise regression analysis, only serum creatinine was found to be an independent variable affecting the morbidity or mortality in these 100 patients of duodenal ulcer perforation. The length of hospital stay, however, was found to be independently affected by both serum creatinine, and, the size of the perforation.

Table 4: Relationship of various factors with hospital stay (Pearson correlation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Hospital stay (days) (n=100)</th>
<th>Significance (2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>Pearson correlation</td>
<td>0.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>0.044*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP **(mmHg)</td>
<td>-0.127</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. creatinine (mg %)</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-O interval^ (hrs)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.012*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (cm)</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Significant</td>
<td>**mean arterial pressure</td>
<td>*perforation-operaton interval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis of the scoring systems

APACHE II – All the 14 patients who had an uneventful recovery had a score of less than 10 at admission.

Mannheim Peritonitis Index scores – All the patients who died had a MPI score of greater than 14 at admission.

Jabalpur Scoring – The patients who recovered without complications tended to have lower scores. However, no clear trend was discernable for patients who had poor outcome.

Hacettepe scores – Only 8 patients had a score of less than 7.9. None of the patients who died had low scores.

The efficacy of these four systems in predicting mortality and morbidity was analyzed using ROC curves. The results are shown in Table 5. The APACHE-II scoring system and the jabalpur scoring system were found to have values of area under the curve in the significant range (>0.80), suggesting that these scoring systems can correctly predicts the mortality and morbidity in patients of N.T.D.U.P. The values in MPI and Hacettepe scoring systems were not found significant in this group of patients (Figure 1).

Discussion

Demography and risk factors

The age of patients of duodenal ulcer perforation has always been reported to be lower in Indian studies, as compared to their western counterparts. Boey et al in a series of 259 patients of duodenal ulcer perforation reported a mean age of 51.3 years [19]. About a decade later, Hamby et al reported a mean age of 52 years in their series of 84 patients [20]. Over the past decade in developed countries, duodenal ulcer perforation is largely a disease of the elderly due to vastly improved proton pump inhibitory agents and anti-Helicobacter pylori drugs. In India, the mean age has been reported to be from 37 years to 42 years [12, 18, 21]. The mean age of presentation in our series was 40.7 years, which was in concurrence with other Indian authors. This would be expected to lead to a decrease in the mortality rate.

The gender difference in this disease is well known. But the male: female ratio was exceptionally high in our series (32:1). Probably in the poor population that frequents our institute, women are late in bringing forth their illness. Though chronic smoking (86%), alcohol intake (54%), and, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAIDs) intake (34%) was prevalent in our patients, none of these factors had a statistical bearing on the outcome. The prevalence of co-morbid disease was, however, associated with mortality. The influence of smoking on duodenal ulcer perforations has been studied by Svanes et al (1997), who found that 96% (168 out of 175) of patients were smokers, and that smoking increased the risk of ulcer perforation 10-fold in a dose-response relationship [22]. Alcoholism has been reported to be common in these patients18, but not independently causative. Of late, NSAIDs have emerged as the most important causative factor in gastroduodenal perforation, especially in elderly persons. Between 1960 and 1980, the incidence of perforations fell among men of all ages living in Scotland. Among the women below 65 years of age, the incidence was steady, but in women over 65 there was a rise of 200% [23]. There is a strong suggestion that a major factor in this rise among older women is the steady increase in the use of the NSAIDs [24].

Preoperative Status

Over 90% of the patients in this series were operated upon after a delay of 24 hours, while 50% of the patients were operated after 48 hours of the onset of perforation. Despite this, 66% of...
the patients had a leucocyte count within the normal range. Organisms were grown in only 14% of the patients. The problems of this delay, however, were inadequate fluid resuscitation in 9% of the patients who presented with hypotension, and, subsequently, a raised serum creatinine in 24% of the patients. The role of bacterial contamination in duodenal ulcer perforation has been previously analysed by Boey et al, who had concluded that bacterial contamination may be of less consequence than previously thought, even in perforation of upto 2 days duration [25]

Morbidity and mortality

Eighty four patients had minor wound infection, while 36 had major wound infection and wound dehiscence. Four patients required re-operation for postoperative leak. Two of these survived by resuturing, drainage and performance of a feeding jejunostomy. Three of these 4 patients who leaked (75%) had a perforation > 1 cm in size while it was 1.0 cm in fourth patient. We advocate that a feeding jejunostomy should probably be done in all patients when the perforation is 1 cm or more in size.

There were 5 deaths in the series (5%). Mortality rates of 8-10% have been observed in many recent Indian series [12, 18, 21]. Analysing the details of these 5 patients, we observe that 3 of these patients had unrecordable blood pressure at admission. The fourth and fifth patients had a mean arterial blood pressure of 70 and 93 mm Hg respectively. All the 5 patients presented more than 48 hours after perforation. Three patients had perforation of 1.5 cm and two of them were reoperated due to leak.

Relationship of various risk factors to outcome

The mean age of patients, who went home without complications (Table 2), was 33.86 years which was significantly different from the mean age of the patients who died or had complications, where it was 41.81 years. No statistically significant difference was observed, however, when only the dead patients were considered (Table 3). This may be because of a smaller number of patients who died in our series. When subjected to logistic regression analysis, age was not found to be a significant factor affecting morbidity or mortality. Age was not found to be a significant risk factor by Boey et al [25], but a significant risk factor by others in cases of NTDUP [18, 21, 26]. The influence of age was significant on the duration of hospital stay (Table 4, Pearson correlation).

The low mortality rate could have also affected other important factors like hypotension and P-O interval. There was a statistically significant difference in the mean arterial pressure of patients who died and those who survived (Table 3). On regression analysis, however, it was not found to be a significant risk factor. Low arterial pressure has been attributed to poor outcome by several authors [18, 25, 26].

All the five deaths in this series occurred in patients who were operated after 48 hours and this was statistically significant (Table 3). Delay in the operation also resulted in longer hospital stay (Table 4). On regression analysis, again, this was not found to be a significant risk factor. Perforation-operation interval was found to be significant risk factor in many Indian studies, [18, 21, 25, 27, 28] but not by others [29]. Most Indian authors have stressed the importance of early operation to improve survival in duodenal perforation. This is largely a preventable cause of mortality.

Serum creatinine

Serum creatinine was found to be a single independent factor affecting the morbidity and mortality in this study. A similar conclusion was drawn by Ferrara et al from Ohio who had found preoperative serum creatinine to be the most powerful predictor of survival [29]. Serum creatinine was also found to be a significant factor associated with mortality by Mishra et al from India [21]. Serum creatinine also significantly correlated with longer hospital stay in our study.

Size of perforation

The size of perforation was found to be a significant risk factor in univariate analysis (Table 2, Table 3). It was also found to be an independent variable affecting the length of stay in hospital. When analysed by stepwise logistic regression, the size of perforation was found to be significant risk factor by Rajesh et al [18]. We have found that even large perforations can be repaired satisfactorily with an omental patch. By routinely performing suitable proximal diversion (tube gastrostomy or duodenostomy) along with feeding jejunostomy in patients with perforation of size more than 1 cm, morbidity due to leak is minimized.

Scoring Systems

The APACHE-II, MPI scoring, and the Jabalpur Score, all seem to allocate lower scores to our patients who have not suffered any complications or death. Results of the Hacettepe scoring system are, however, at variance from the results of the original authors [30]. None of the patients who died had low scores in this system.

When the scoring systems were statistically analysed using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves (Table 5), the APACHE-II system and the Jabalpur Scoring System were found to correctly predict morbidity and mortality in our patients. The APACHE II system is widely accepted due to its accurate representation of physiological alterations, using objective measurable parameters. Most consider it the 'gold standard', having been validated in thousands of patients globally. However, the complexities of the APACHE-II and its dependence on sophisticated investigations have prevented its wider use in developing countries. The Jabalpur scoring system is useful because it incorporates perforation-operation interval, which is an important prognostic parameter in developing countries. Moreover, its simplicity ensures objectivity and consistency in data collection. It can be applicable in centers where intensive care facilities are limited. The Jabalpur Scoring system can predict both morbidity and mortality [21].

Conclusions

Our patients of duodenal ulcer perforation are younger, with a high leak rate and mortality. Serum creatinine and size of perforation are factors linked to poor outcome. Omental patch
repair is suitable for large perforations in the emergency setting with limited expertise; more data is needed to validate other techniques.

### Table 5: Statistical analysis of the various scoring systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scores</th>
<th>Area under the ROC curve</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APACHE-II</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.724-0.885</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jabalpur</td>
<td>0.809</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.718-0.881</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.607-0.794</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hacettepe</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.432-0.635</td>
<td>0.6806</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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