Histopathology of Intestinal Transplant Rejections
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Abstract

Small bowel transplantation is one of the standard therapies for short-bowel syndrome. Nevertheless, histological rejection is still a main cause for failure of intestinal transplants. The present review aims to elucidate the histologic findings for diagnosis of acute cellular rejection (ACR). We review immunohistologic findings along with assessment of patients’ clinical courses. In addition to crypt apoptosis, which is considered as a sensitive histologic finding in ACR, T-lymphocyte apoptosis and phagocytosis of apoptotic bodies in the lamina propria of villi were common findings of ACR. Recent research in variable T cell populations may contribute to the immunological understanding of ACR. Therefore, in the future, earlier diagnosis of ACR may be achievable.

Introduction

Small Bowel Transplantation (SBT) is one of the standard therapies for patients with complications of irreversible requirement of total parenteral nutrition associated with Short-Bowel Syndrome, which is a serious condition with considerable morbidity, because of the deficiencies and metabolic imbalances created when deprived from a regular diet [1-3]. Moreover, SBT is a suitable treatment option for patients with Hirschsprung’s disease and related diseases such as chronic idiopathic intestinal pseudo-obstruction syndrome [1], megacystis microcolon intestinal hypoperistalsis syndrome [4], desmoid tumors associated with familial adenomatous polyposis [5], and Crohn’s disease [6]. Owing to highly effective immunosuppressive medication and improvements in post-operative care, outcomes of SBT have considerably improved [7,8]. For prolonged post-transplantation control, novel immunologic suppressants, such as mycophenolate mofetil, tacrolimus, and steroids have been used.

Despite improvements in the outcomes, acute cellular rejection (ACR) remains the major cause of intestinal graft failures following SBT [9-12]. For most patients that experience severe ACR, adequate recovery of mucosal function is difficult; bacterial and viral opportunistic infections are inevitable because of defensive mucosal barriers. Therefore, diagnosing ACR in the early phase is essential during postoperative care [13].

Microorganisms and ACR

The intestine is host to bacterial and microorganism flora, and its mucosa includes its native lymphoid tissue. These complicated factors contribute to the high frequency and severity of ACR. Recent studies have indicated novel techniques for identification of the flora, using profiling as a diagnostic marker of rejection [14]. Cytomegalovirus (CMV) and Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) [15] infection are also causes of implications following an increased dose of steroid pulse, tacrolimus, and other immunosuppressive reagents. In particular, CMV enteritis is persistent and erosion in an immunocompromised status leads to the destruction of the mucosal architecture after severe inflammation. Immunohistochemical assessment is one of the available methods for identification of CMV. Recently, a DNA extraction technique has been developed and PCR tests for the identification of CMV have become available using formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue [16]. The mucosal damage in CMV intestinal enteritis is frequently severe and it is difficult to make a differential diagnosis from ACR. In an immunocompromised state, patients are at increased risk of post-transplantation lymphoproliferative disorders (PTLDs) due to EBV infection. Monomorphic PTLDs have potential to develop into B cell lymphomas, and reduction of immunosuppression results in normalization and loss of EBV-associated expression. The expression of EBV can be analyzed by real-time PCR, and immunohistochemistry of LMP-1 or in situ hybridization for EBER (EBV encoded small RNA) [17]. Ramos, et al. [18] reported that the frequency of graft removal due to EBV infection is higher than 40%, and the subsequent patient survival rate is less than 70%.

Candidate biomarkers of ACR

The candidate biomarkers of ACR have been investigated in peripheral blood of intestinal transplant patients, in which ribosomal proteins such as RPL13A [3], markers IL1R2, ICAM1, GZMB, CCL3 [19,20], and citrulline levels [21] have been reported. The production of IL-5 increases significantly relative to other cytokines in the allograft tissue during ACR [22]. In parallel with this, eosinophil infiltrates have frequently been observed [22], as well as mixed cellular inflammation [11]. C-reactive protein (CRP) is another indicator of ACR (Figure 1A); this protein is known to rise in inflammation following IL-6 secretion by macrophages. A CRP test has been shown to measure 1.0-3.0 mg/10^9 L in patients without administration of an immunosuppressive reagent;
however, this value elevates to over 3.0 mg/10^-1L at the onset of ACR. Following immunosuppressive therapy, this value promptly decreased to 1.0 mg/10^-1L [22,23]. Nonetheless, low copy numbers of CMV infection in the transplants did not significantly elevate the CRP value. Therefore, CRP value is a differential diagnostic maker of ACR from CMV enteritis.

Histologic assessment of apoptotic bodies in crypts and lamina propria of villi

Various laboratory tests have been developed for assessment of ACR; however, the significance of histologic tests remains important. Histologic criteria are shown in Table. According to these criteria, lymphocytic infiltrates (Figure 2A) and crypt apoptosis are commonly observed in ACR (Figure 2B). In practice, the diagnosis of intestinal ACR in the early phase is frequently difficult, particularly due to the complicated interactions between lymphocytes and other immunological cells resulting from the transient coexistence of donor-derived and recipient-derived cells in the graft.

Among histlogic criteria, crypt apoptosis in the mucosa is one of the most reliable observations; severe ulceration follows this and sufficient recovery of the mucosa becomes difficult when graft damage reaches the submucosal area [13]. Repeat occurrences of this severe damage leads to chronic graft rejection in which fibrosis proceeds leading to irreversible inefficiency of absorption in the intestine. Apoptosis in the crypt is detectable using the TUNEL method or by the caspase-cleaved keratin fragment marker.

In addition, Tsuruyama, et al. [10] reported that apoptotic bodies cluster in the Lamina Propria (LP) of villi at the onset of ACR (Figure 2C). Apoptotic crypts in grafts undergoing ACR are shown as intensely stained. In addition, macrophages phagocytosing apoptotic T cells are frequently observed with clustering in the LP (Figure 2D). These apoptotic cell clusters are significantly decreased following steroid pulse administration [10]. Therefore, this apoptotic response in LP is one of the immunological reactions associated with ACR. Scoring of the degree of apoptosis in the LP is available for evaluation (score 0, no signals; score 1, scant and isolated signals; score 2, a few signal aggregations; score 3, signal aggregates surrounding

![Figure 1: Clinical course of post-transplantation status. Administrative doses of tacrolimus and methylprednisolone (mPSL). Bottom plots represent white blood cell (WBC) number and concentration of C-reactive protein (CRP) in the peripheral blood.](image)

![Table 1: Histologic schema of acute cellular rejection (ACR) of intestinal allograft.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histologic grade</th>
<th>Crypt apoptosis and related findings</th>
<th>Lymphocytic apoptosis in LP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indeterminate</td>
<td>up to 6 apoptotic bodies per 10 crypts</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>&gt;6 apoptotic bodies per 10 crypts</td>
<td>Isolated apoptotic bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Confluent apoptosis</td>
<td>Increased Inflammation, Epithelial injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe/ Exfoliative</td>
<td>Mucosal ulceration</td>
<td>Apoptotic bodies aggregate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP: Lamina Propria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Asaoka, et al. [20] reported the activation of cytotoxic T cells (CTLs) in granzyme B/ perforin-mediated graft injury. Unlike apoptosis in graft versus host disease that may be associated with elevation in TNF-alpha production [24], Fas ligand (FasL) is frequently stained in cases of ACR [10]. Therefore, the ACR of intestinal graft includes various activations of apoptosis-related molecules, by expression and release. After T cells undergo apoptosis [10], apoptotic bodies are then phagocytosed by macrophages. Similar findings in a liver allograft have been reported [25]. Thus, these phagocytic findings may be common to multiple allografts in ACR.

**Figure 2: Histology of ACR in the intestine.**
A) Lymphocyte infiltrates including eosinophils and neutrophils (× 200).
B) Crypt apoptosis (×200). An Arrow indicates the eosinophilic apoptotic bodies in the crypt.
C) Double staining of NKT cells with TCRValpha24 (red, PE) and TUNEL (green, FITC) (× 200).
D) Apoptotic clusters stained with TUNEL. DAB was used for visualization. An arrow represents the cluster.

**Figure 3: Immunohistochemistry of FasL.**
A) Intact allograft (× 200), and B) allograft with ACR (× 200). DAB was used for visualization. FasL-positive cells were observed in the lamina propria.
after steroid pulse, apoptosis increases in number and ulceration is inevitable. In contrast, T cell apoptosis in LP appears in the early phase of ACR and immunosuppressive therapy is sufficiently effective, and in fact, apoptotic T cells disappear within a day following the therapy [10,22]. For this reason, T cell apoptosis is more sensitive to treatment procedures. Thus, CRP test and histology likely provides effective prospects for follow-up. After treatment of rejection ACR erosive mucosa is edematous; however, Paneth cells at the crypt regenerate in association with mucosal recovery. Cell cycle markers, such as Ki67 and PCNA, show recovery of stainability in foveolar and crypt epithelial cells. Therefore, this staining method provides a marker of better prognosis after ACR. Nonetheless, for diagnosis of cases in which humoral rejection is suspected, complement staining such as C4d using frozen section may provide more reliable information [12].

**Histologic finding in Peyer’s patch (PP) and Isolated Lymphoid Follicle (ILF)**

After engraftment, host-derived T cells traffic into the intestinal allograft across the high endothelial veins (HEVs) located in the inter-PP follicular region. By endoscopy examination, elevation of mucosa is frequently observed in the intestinal transplantation at the onset of ACR. The elevated site includes PPs that consist of B cells, T cells, and dendritic Cells.

The entry of cytotoxic T cells CTLs into PPs via HEVs is observed within 7–10 days after transplantation and ACR occurs in cases. When ACR persists, PPs disintegrate in severe ACR and mucosal recovery is not sufficient when fibrosis develops. This is one of the poor prognoses of intestinal transplants, because once the mucosal defensive mechanism is lost in the erosive site, the graft becomes susceptible to bacterial and viral infection. Therefore, the immunosuppressive therapy before PP disintegration is essential for the control of post-transplantation success rate [23].

Follicular B cells in PPs are stimulated by antigens in the lumen and differentiate from Immunoglobulin M (IgM+) to IgA+ B cells by class switching, which is mediated by Activation-Induced Cytidine Deaminase (AID). IgA+ B cells in PPs circulate throughout the body via the thoracic duct and differentiate into IgA+ B cells by the effect of IL-6 produced by intestinal epithelial cells. The primary antibodies secreted into the intestinal tract mucus are of the IgA class, and are transported to the gut luminal side by binding to multimeric antibody receptors that are retained on intestinal epithelial cells. Notably, host lymphocytes rapidly repopulate allograft PPs/ILFs within two years in the absence of ACR [26]. Allograft ILFs revealed a higher maturation state than control samples, and IgA+ plasma cells were increased in a number in allograft mucosa [26]. AID gene expression in allograft PPs/ILFs that the immunological burden may promote the maturation of B cells [26]. Histological examination showed hyperplastic changes of PPs with an increase in expression of CD20, a mature B cell marker at the onset of ACR [23].

**T cells infiltrate allografts at the onset of ACR**

It appears to be controversial which types of lymphocytes induce ACR of intestinal allografts. In general, CTLs are considered to induce ACR of intestinal allografts. However, several experimental studies have not supported this. In fact, apoptosis and ACR of intestinal allograft was observed in the absence of CTLs in a rat model [27]. In fact, our immunohistochemistry did not consistently reveal CTL infiltrates in allografts except in the first episode of rejection [10,22,23,28]. CTL has the potential to express FasL. In autoimmune diseases such as inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) such as ulcerative colitis (UC), increases in FasL+ T cells are observed, but do not correlate with increases in Fas+ T cells, indicating that the increased expression of FasL in IBD colonic LP is not paralleled by Fas expression by T cells, and that Fas/Fasl-mediated apoptosis is not the main factor. In contrast, expression of perforin, which is another apoptosis-inducing molecule, is correlated with tissue damage and may represent the enhancement of a distinct cytotoxic pathway in UC [29]. Unlike UC, Fas and Fasl expression correlates well with ACR in intestinal grafts. Therefore, the immunological status is probably not similar to autoimmune colitis. Then, which types of T cells expressing FasL, or other cell populations, are effectors in ACR of intestinal allografts? The helper T cell (Th1/Th2 paradigm in mucosal immunology has been shift-
ed [30], and recent discovery of novel subsets of natural killer T (NKT) cells, regulatory T (Treg) cells [31,32] and effector T helper cells that produce interleukin-17 (Th17) have been reported [31]. Of note, Th17 cells are potent inducers of inflammation and autoimmune diseases.

At the onset of ACR, natural killer (NK) cells and natural killer T (NKT) cells transiently increase in number, as well as in NKT cells (Figure 4A, B), and both rapidly decrease following steroid pulse therapy [23]. The NKT cells have the potential to produce IL-4, which contributes to the development of Th2 cells and antagonizes Th1 and CTL responses. Higher levels of IL-4 prior to and shortly after kidney transplantation have been reported, and IL-4 may have a protective effect on renal graft survival [33]. Indeed, NKT cells have been implicated in allograft tolerance in experimental mouse models [34], in induction of chimerism in allogeneic cardiac transplant models [35], and in acceptance of rat-islet xenografts in mice [36]. Interferon-γ production remains low relative to normal donor intestine and does not change during the course of ACR development [37]. Therefore, infiltration of NKT cells may be involved in the protection of allografts in the response to ACR. Therefore, release of Th2-related cytokines by NKT cells may antagonize the proceeding of ACR. It is likely that humoral factors, such as candidates IL-10 and transforming growth factor (TGF)-β [23], recruit NKT cells to the graft mucosa in order to suppress allograft ACR. Using TUNEL and restricted-T cell receptor (TCR) alpha 24 staining, NKT cells are found to increase and undergo apoptosis (Figure 2C). Therefore, the apoptotic reaction involves the NKT cell population. Decrease of NKT cells may lead to the deterioration of ACR. However, because the released IL-4 and IL-5 may damage the allograft via eosinophilic enteritis, NKT cells are a double-edged effector [38].

FoxP3+ Treg are another immunological modulator of intestinal allograft, and the graft-protective mechanism has been extensively investigated [39]. Treg are recruited to the liver allograft at the onset of ACR and are maintained in tolerant liver allograft [40]. Introduction of bone marrow mesenchymal cells into the intestinal allograft increased Treg in parallel with IL-10 and TGF-β [41]. In clinical cases, the roles of Treg are not understood with normal donor intestine and does not change during the course of ACR development [37]. Therefore, infiltration of NKT cells may be involved in the protection of allografts in the response to ACR.

Conclusion

ACR remains to be the main cause of intestinal graft failure. Research in molecular immunological responses by T cell populations in allograft has developed, and a greater understanding of the pathogenesis of ACR is expected in the future. With respect to these achievements, histologic diagnosis of rejection at an earlier phase should be possible.
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