

# T Lymphocytes Response to Caecal Coccidiosis in Broilers Infected with Exo and Endogenous Stages of *Eimeria Tenella*

Kaze Paul Davou<sup>1\*</sup>, Idris Lawal<sup>2</sup>, Ajanusi Joseph<sup>2</sup>, Saidu Lawal<sup>3</sup>, Gloria Karaye<sup>4</sup>, Maryam Patrobas<sup>1</sup>, Biallah Markus<sup>1</sup>, Francis Sa Ayinzat<sup>4</sup>, Dung Christopher<sup>5</sup>, Kuduk Karaye<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Veterinary Parasitology and Entomology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Jos, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Veterinary Parasitology and Entomology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup>Department of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria

<sup>4</sup>Department of Theriogenology and Production, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Jos, Nigeria

<sup>5</sup>Department of Veterinary Biochemistry and Physiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Jos, Nigeria

<sup>6</sup>Central Diagnostic Laboratory, National Veterinary Research Institute, Vom, Nigeria

Received: November 09, 2017; Accepted: January 19, 2018; Published: January 22, 2018

\*Corresponding author: Department of Veterinary Parasitology and Entomology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Jos, Nigeria, E-mail: paulkaze@yahoo.com

## Summary

The present study reveals the proliferation of cytokines in treated and non- treated broilers consisting of IFN-  $\gamma$ , IL-1, IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, TNF and TGF. The CD<sub>4</sub> count in the treated and non- treated broilers orally administered with various developmental stages of the parasite reached a peak on day 10 at primary; secondary and day 24 at tertiary infections. There was significant difference in the CD<sub>4</sub> cell count between groups of the infected broiler chickens ( $p < 0.05$ ). The current study observed a relationship between the different developmental stages of the parasite and lymphocytes response. Broiler chickens infected with sporulated oocyst (sporozoites) and merozoites treated and non-treated gave high CD<sub>4</sub> T- lymphocyte numbers than the other groups throughout the experimental periods.

## Introduction

*Eimeria tenella* is the most prevalent and pathogenic coccidian resulting in morbidity and mortality, causing serious economic losses to the poultry industry worldwide. Immunocompetence is a key factor in evolutionary process given that parasitism and disease are strong forces promoting genetic variability, May and Anderson, et al [1]. A decrease in the immune response when experimentally exposed to parasites has been interpreted as a depletion of resources devoted to immune stimulation [2]. However, an increase in the immune response after parasite infection is considered to be due to the stimulation of host immunological activity [3,4]. In birds, the most abundant and studied T- lymphocyte subsets are CD<sub>4</sub>, CD<sub>5</sub> and CD<sub>8</sub> as they played a role in active defense against infections [5,6]. CD<sub>4</sub> subset is implicated in the secretion of active substances such as cytokines, interferon and interleukin-6 and commonly used to evaluate the health status of infected birds with infections [7]. This study aim

is to determine the T-lymphocyte response in broilers infected with exo and endogenous stages of *Eimeria tenella*.

## Materials and Methods

### Study Area

The experimental settings was at the PETCA building, Anguldi, 5 kilometers from the National Veterinary Research Institute, Vom, Jos Plateau State, Nigeria, where the laboratory work was carried out. The Jos Plateau lies on the pre-cambian from the cambian to jurasic northern Nigeria crystalline complex in central Nigeria. Its average elevation is about 1,250 m above mean sea level. The state is bounded on the north and west by Kaduna plains (on the average of 600 m above mean sea level) and on the south by Benue plains (on the average of 700 m above mean sea level), [8]. Geographically, the Jos Plateau is located between latitude 08°24'N and longitude 008°32' and 010°38' east. The land surface of Jos Plateau consists of plains, hills, depressions and todes of various forms, shapes and sizes. It is a major tourist centre in Nigeria with agriculture as the main occupation of the people. The high altitude confers on the Plateau lower temperature than those encountered elsewhere in Nigeria except the Obudu and Mambilla Plateau. The dry season is determined by the north easterly tropical continental air masses known as harmattan (from October – April) and the wet season is the most tropical maritime air masses from May – September. The average annual rainfall is about 1,100 mm and is evenly distributed. Another element of climate is temperature December and January experience temperatures of below 15°C. During February and March, the temperature rises again about 25°C. Most of the human activities are mining and agriculture

involving rearing of chickens in both the rural and urban areas for subsistency and income [8].

### Experimental Birds

Four hundred (400) day-old broilers (marshal breed) were purchased from ECWA farms, Jos, brooded and used for the study. The birds were randomly distributed into six different groups of 40 each, in a clean wire cage (n = 40). At two weeks old, each group was again subdivided into two, treated and non treated, of twenty broilers (n = 20) each. The birds were kept in a clean building, and the legs banded or labelled under strict biosecurity measures. Feed (Broiler starter, Grand cereals and oil mills, PLC, Zawan, Jos-Plateau, Nigeria) and water were provided *adlibitum*. The birds were vaccinated with Newcastle disease vaccine (La-Sota) at day 21 and Gomboro disease vaccines at days 14 and 28.

### Experimental infection of broilers with infective materials and monitoring

The experimental birds, except the control were orally given primary and secondary challenge infections with the various developmental stages of *Eimeria tenella*, respectively at week 2 and 3 ½ while at week 5 of age, all birds were infected

the sporulated oocyst of the parasite (Table 1). Each group was subdivided into Treated (n = 20) and Non- Treated (n = 20). In each infected group, birds in one of the subdivisions were treated with amprolium 250 WSP<sup>R</sup> Holland was administered in drinking water at a concentration of 250 mg/1 (0.025%) for a period of 5 days as prescribed by the Manufacturer at the appearance of visible clinical signs.

To obtain serum, blood samples were collected from the experimental birds using the method described by Talebi and Mulcahy [9]. Briefly, 1ml of blood sample was obtained from the wing vein of each bird using 20 gauge needle (Becton Dickson co., Plymouth, UK) into a 2ml vacutainer. Samples were obtained on days 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 after primary and secondary infections, and on days 5, 7, 11, 14, 17, 20 and 24 after tertiary infection (Rose and Hasketh, et al). The blood which had been allowed to clot for 1 hour at room temperature, was left over night at 4°C and then centrifuge at 800g for 5 minutes. The serum samples were thereafter heated at 56°C for 30 minutes to inactivate the compliment before storage at -20°C. All sera were analyzed with the developed ELISA Triplicate

**Table 1:** Experimental infection of broilers with developmental stages of *Eimeria Tenella*

Group	Treatment and No. of birds	Infection type/ Age of bird		
		1° /wk 2	2° /wk 3	3° /wk 2 challenge with virulent <i>E. tenella</i>
I	T(n=20)	10 <sup>5</sup> USO	10 <sup>5</sup> USO	10 <sup>5</sup> SO
	NT(n=20)	10 <sup>5</sup> USO	10 <sup>5</sup> USO	10 <sup>5</sup> SO
II	T(n=20)	10 <sup>5</sup> SO	10 <sup>5</sup> SO	10 <sup>5</sup> SO
	NT(n=20)	10 <sup>5</sup> SO	10 <sup>5</sup> SO	10 <sup>5</sup> SO
III	T(n=20)	10 <sup>5</sup> SCZ	10 <sup>5</sup> SCZ	10 <sup>5</sup> SO
	NT(n=20)	10 <sup>5</sup> SCZ	10 <sup>5</sup> SCZ	10 <sup>5</sup> SO
Iv	T(n=20)	10 <sup>5</sup> MRZ	10 <sup>5</sup> MRZ	10 <sup>5</sup> SO
	NT(n=20)	10 <sup>5</sup> MRZ	10 <sup>5</sup> MRZ	10 <sup>5</sup> SO
V	T(n=20)	10 <sup>5</sup> GMT	10 <sup>5</sup> GMT	10 <sup>5</sup> SO
	NT(n=20)	10 <sup>5</sup> GMT	10 <sup>5</sup> GMT	10 <sup>5</sup> SO
VI		0	0	0

USO: Unsporulated oocyst; 1°: primary infection; SO: Sporulated oocyst; 2°: Secondary infection; SCZ: Schizontes; 3°: Tertiary infection; WK:Week; T: Treated; NT: Non treated.

### Determination of Immunity Conferred on Birds By *Eimeria tenella* Developmental Stages

This was done by measurement of immune bodies using Lymphoproliferation assay or Non-radioactive assay and Flow cytometric analysis

### Lymphocyte Proliferation Studies

The lymphocyte proliferation assay is widely used to evaluate cell-mediated immunity in normal and disease states in chickens [10,11]. The spleen collected from each of the sacrificed broilers (four per group) at the end of each infection were crushed by

pressing on fine mesh Petri dishes containing PBS and glass beads. The suspension was then passed through nylon cell strainer (70 µm; Becton, Dickson, Lincoln Park, NJ). The filtrate was centrifuged at 250 g for 10 minutes at 4°C and the sediment containing the spleen cells was collected for the study. One hundred microlitre of splenic cell suspension containing 5 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells was placed in each of 96-well sterile culture plate (Corning, NY) containing 100 µl of complete Rose Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) media containing various concentrations (0.1, 1.0, 10 or 20 µg/ml).of concanavalin A (Con-A, Sigma, MO). The plates were incubated for 48 hours at 37°C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, 95% humidity incubator [12].

## Colorimetric Analysis

After 48 hours of culture of the splenic cells of the orally infected broiler chickens, Resazurin or Alamar Blue™ (Accumed International, Westlake, OH, from Biosource/Tago Immunochemicals, Camars, CA) was added at 20 µl/well, and absorbance value were read at wavelengths of 570 nm (reduced state) and using an optical density Colorimeter Plate Reader (Molecular Devices, Menlo Park, CA) 24 h after the addition of Alamar Blue. Purple colour was observed on the proliferated lymphocytes [13].

## Flow Cytometric Analysis

Whole blood (20 µl) from each group of treated and non treated broilers orally infected with the parasite stages was added into a test tube, cells were stained with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated anti-mouse CD90.2 (Thy1.2), isotype anti-rat IgG<sub>2a</sub>, κ control antibodies; and kept in the dark for 15 minutes at room temperature. These stained cells were analyzed using CD<sub>4</sub>/CD<sub>4</sub>% PARTEC CYFLOW-Cyflow counter 2010, USA [13].

## Data Analyses

The data were subjected to statistical analysis using Analysis of variance (ANOVA) by SPSS version 20. Data was expressed as (mean ± standard error of the mean (mean ± S.E.M). Values of p < 0.05 was considered significant.


## Results

### Lymphoproliferation studies in the experimental broiler chickens


Lymphoproliferation assay showed the proliferation of protective cytokines in the spleen of broilers infected with the various developmental stages of the parasite. Groups I (infected with unsporulated oocyst) and III (infected with schizonts) did elicit lymphocytes proliferation (IFN- γ, IL-1, IL-2, IL-4, IL-6 and TNF) at primary and secondary infections respectively. Groups II and IV revealed the proliferation of Interferon (IFN- γ), Interleukins (IL-1, IL-2, IL-4, IL-6), Turmor necrotic factor (TNF), Transforming growth factor (TGF), respectively at primary-secondary-tertiary infections, while Group V (infected with gametocytes) showed IL-1, IL-2 and IL-4 at primary and secondary infections. However, groups I, III and V showed proliferation of IFN- γ, IL-1, IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, TNF and TGF respectively at tertiary infection. The control showed no lymphocytes proliferation.

In the study, the number of CD<sub>4</sub> count increased post infection in treated and non-treated broilers orally administered with various developmental stages of the parasite, reaching a peak at day 10 ((groups I – 198.0 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl, 165.3 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl; 200.0 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl, 156 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl and 196.7 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl, 173.3 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl; II – 199.0 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl, 186.0 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl; 197.0 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl, 192.7 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl and 200.0 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl, 194 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl; III – 198 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl, 153.3 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl; 200.0 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl, 160.0 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl and 188.7 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl, 166.7 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl; IV – 193.3 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl, 183 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl; 198.7 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl, 183.3 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl and 190 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl, 188.0 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl; V – 200.0 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl, 198.0 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl; 187.3 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl, 174 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl and 188.7 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl, 175.3 x 10<sup>3</sup> µl respectively) of primary and secondary infections and day 24 of


tertiary infection (Tables 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3). There was significant difference in the CD<sub>4</sub> cell count among different groups of the experimental broilers ( p < 0.05 ). CD<sub>4</sub> levels were higher in the treated than the non treated broilers at primary infection (Figure 1.1). The levels of CD<sub>4</sub> cells increases rapidly in the non treated birds at secondary infection, showing a non significant difference in the CD<sub>4</sub> levels in all the groups treated and non treated (Figure 1.2). Groups II and IV of the non treated birds had higher CD4 levels than groups I, III and V at both secondary and tertiary infections (Figures 1.2 and 1.3). There was significant difference in CD<sub>4</sub> subset between groups of the study birds (p < 0.05). The current study observed a relationship between the different developmental stages of the parasite and immune responses ( humoral and lymphocytes responses). Broilers infected with sporulated oocysts (sporozoites) and merzoites yielded high CD<sub>4</sub> T-lymphocyte numbers than the other groups, throughout the experiment periods (Tables 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3).



**Figure 1.1:** CD<sub>4</sub> Levels in the plasma of broilers treated and non treated infected with the developmental stages of the parasite at primary infection



**Figure 1.2:** CD<sub>4</sub> levels in the plasma of broilers treated and non treated infected with the developmental stages of the parasite at secondary infection.



**Figure 1.3:** CD<sub>4</sub> levels in the plasma of broilers treated and non treated infected with the developmental stages of the parasite at tertiary infection

## Discussion

The cytokines generally reported in this study include IFN- $\gamma$ , IL-1, IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, TNF and TGF confirmed the findings of Oldham and Gadde [14,15]. The study also showed that infection of broilers with various developmental stages of *Eimeria tenella* elicited both cellular. Homologous and heterologous challenges of the birds with the various developmental stages of the parasite at primary, secondary and tertiary infection levels stimulated the secretion and proliferation of lymphocytes. This is in concordance with the findings of Chapman, who reported that the primary infection with *Eimeria tenella* oocyst induced complete protection against homologous challenges [16]. The findings from the study revealed the immunogenicity of the developmental stages of the *Eimeria tenella* and this is consistent with the reports of Molloy and Chow, who stated that the surface antigens (SAGs) of the different *Eimeria tenella* stages were

**Table 2.1:** CD<sub>4</sub> Lymphocytes subset counts (cells /10<sup>3</sup>  $\mu$ l) in experimental broilers orally infected with *E. tenella* developmental stages at primary infection treated and non treated

Group and Non treated	Time (days)				
	2	4	6	8	10
I	133.3 $\pm$ 58.6	158.3 $\pm$ 61.1	143.3 $\pm$ 57.7	133.3 $\pm$ 61.1	163.3 $\pm$ 57.7
II	120.0 $\pm$ 65.6	180.0 $\pm$ 58.9	139.3 $\pm$ 57.7	131.3 $\pm$ 60.8	186.0 $\pm$ 85.4
III	143.3 $\pm$ 84.7	147.3 $\pm$ 84.7	140.3 $\pm$ 85.8	133.3 $\pm$ 85.5	153.3 $\pm$ 76.4
IV	126.7 $\pm$ 55.1	166.0 $\pm$ 50.7	110.7 $\pm$ 53.5	146.0 $\pm$ 63.9	183.7 $\pm$ 90.2
V	144.0 $\pm$ 95.5	170.0 $\pm$ 85.4	146.7 $\pm$ 76.4	153.3 $\pm$ 68.1	180.0 $\pm$ 85.4
VI	134.7 $\pm$ 102.5	198.0 $\pm$ 86.6	195.0 $\pm$ 86.6	198.0 $\pm$ 86.6	198.0 $\pm$ 86.6
Treated					
I	198.7 $\pm$ 91.4	200.0 $\pm$ 117.9	160.0 $\pm$ 52.9	195.3 $\pm$ 95.1	199.0 $\pm$ 95.4
II	146.0 $\pm$ 87.0	200.0 $\pm$ 86.8	162.7 $\pm$ 83.6	168.0 $\pm$ 95.4	199.0 $\pm$ 95.4
III	150.0 $\pm$ 90.4	200.0 $\pm$ 86.6	160 $\pm$ 96.0	166.7 $\pm$ 83.3	198.0 $\pm$ 86.6
IV	163.0 $\pm$ 88.9	198.7 $\pm$ 94.3	171.0 $\pm$ 86.6	177.0 $\pm$ 88.9	193.3 $\pm$ 90.7
V	135.0 $\pm$ 91.7	200.0 $\pm$ 91.7	155.3 $\pm$ 96.5	169.7 $\pm$ 99.9	200.0 $\pm$ 86.6

No significant difference was observed across the days for both treated and non treated ( $p > 0.05$ ). However, comparison of treated and non treated showed significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) for all the days.

**Table 2.2:** CD<sub>4</sub> Lymphocytes subset counts (cells /10<sup>3</sup>  $\mu$ l) in experimental broilers orally infected with *E. tenella* developmental stages at secondary infection treated and non treated

Non treated	days				
	2	4	6	8	10
I	140.0 $\pm$ 60.8	144.0 $\pm$ 62.9	140.0 $\pm$ 40.0	154.0 $\pm$ 64.1	156.7 $\pm$ 70.1
II	183.3 $\pm$ 82.5	185.3 $\pm$ 86.0	187.3 $\pm$ 90.7	189.3 $\pm$ 95.0	192.7 $\pm$ 84.7
III	143.3 $\pm$ 62.5	145.3 $\pm$ 63.6	146.7 $\pm$ 64.3	170.0 $\pm$ 50.7	160.0 $\pm$ 69.3
IV	180.0 $\pm$ 85.4	168.0 $\pm$ 76.9	178.7 $\pm$ 81.0	177.3 $\pm$ 86.7	183.3 $\pm$ 85.8
V	166.7 $\pm$ 76.4	170.7 $\pm$ 77.1	172.0 $\pm$ 76.9	166.0 $\pm$ 73.5	174.0 $\pm$ 78.1
VI	183.3 $\pm$ 104.1	200.0 $\pm$ 86.6	200.0 $\pm$ 86.6	200.0 $\pm$ 86.6	200.0 $\pm$ 86.6
Treated					
I	200.0 $\pm$ 86.6	196.0 $\pm$ 99.1	190.0 $\pm$ 96.4	199.3 $\pm$ 95.3	200.0 $\pm$ 86.6
II	196.7 $\pm$ 95.2	188.7 $\pm$ 90.3	194.0 $\pm$ 91.2	197.3 $\pm$ 95.0	197.0 $\pm$ 96.0
III	200.0 $\pm$ 86.6	192.7 $\pm$ 90.7	196.0 $\pm$ 92.6	200.0 $\pm$ 86.6	200.0 $\pm$ 86.6

IV	193.3 ± 95.0	195.3 ± 95.1	196.0 ± 95.2	196.0 ± 91.1	198.7 ± 94.5
V	180.0 ± 85.4	184.0 ± 85.9	186.0 ± 83.1	182.0 ± 81.6	187.3 ± 81.8

No significant difference was observed across the days for both treated and non treated groups ( $p > 0.05$ ). However, comparison of treated and non treated showed significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) for all the days.

**Table 2.3:** CD<sub>4</sub> Lymphocytes subset counts (cells /10<sup>3</sup> µl) in experimental broilers orally infected with *E. tenella* developmental stages at tertiary infection treated and non treated

Group and Non treated	Time (days)						
	5	7	11	14	17	20	24
I	133.3 ± 57.7	140.0 ± 60.8	140.0 ± 69.3	156.7 ± 67.0	160.7 ± 70.4	169.3 ± 76.8	173.3 ± 77.7
II	193.3 ± 90.7	194.7 ± 95.6	194.7 ± 77.1	186.0 ± 88.5	194.0 ± 87.8	191.3 ± 93.6	194.3 ± 85.6
III	165.3 ± 56.6	166.0 ± 28.6	134.0 ± 58.0	168.7 ± 46.3	138.7 ± 46.3	151.3 ± 66.9	166.7 ± 76.4
IV	186.7 ± 90.2	180.7 ± 85.9	189.3 ± 82.4	174.3 ± 79.3	171.0 ± 78.6	174.0 ± 81.3	188.0 ± 90.3
V	140.0 ± 60.8	146.7 ± 64.5	148.0 ± 64.5	171.3 ± 79.1	191.0 ± 41.4	174.0 ± 77.8	175.3 ± 81.1
VI	200.0 ± 86.6	200.0 ± 86.6	200.0 ± 86.6	200.0 ± 86.6	200.0 ± 86.6	200.0 ± 86.6	200.0 ± 86.6
Treated							
I	194.0 ± 95.5	195.3 ± 86.2	196.7 ± 95.2	198.0 ± 95.3	197.3 ± 98.0	192.7 ± 90.7	196.7 ± 89.5
II	196.3 ± 87.4	199.3 ± 95.3	214.0 ± 68.2	196.0 ± 84.9	198.7 ± 90.8	197.3 ± 98.0	200.0 ± 86.6
III	186.7 ± 81.4	178.7 ± 94.2	190.7 ± 88.9	194.0 ± 87.8	166.7 ± 76.4	165.3 ± 71.8	188.7 ± 90.3
IV	194.0 ± 87.8	195.3 ± 95.1	198.0 ± 97.5	170.7 ± 77.1	180.7 ± 85.9	186.7 ± 81.4	190.7 ± 97.0
V	182.0 ± 83.1	180.7 ± 85.9	179.3 ± 79.2	206.0 ± 45.9	184.7 ± 86.3	154.0 ± 66.9	188.7 ± 81.7

No significant difference was observed across the days for both treated and non treated ( $p > 0.05$ ). A comparison of treated and non treated showed significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ).

capable of initiating humoral and cytokines responses in birds [17,18]. The study reported a strong immune responses (humoral and cell mediated) in broilers infected with sporulated oocyst (sporozoite) and merozoites. This is similar to finding of Rose and Hesketh, Lillehoj, et al [18,19,20,21]. The strong immune response can be attributed to the relative invasive features of the parasite in nature due to proteins released from micronemes which are important for host binding and invasion. The rhoptry proteins secreted during invasion to form the parasitophorous vacuole within which the parasite resides. Also, the possession of glycosulphosphatidylinositol (G.P.I) -linked surface antigens (SAGs) may mediate binding to the host [22]. However, this study is inconsistent with work of Onaga and Nakamiura [23].

The present study revealed an increase in the number of CD<sub>4</sub> cells at day 10 post primary infection in both treated and non treated broilers as shown by Lillehoj [24]. Our study also recorded high numbers of CD<sub>4</sub> cells after secondary infection of the birds at day 10 as against day 6 recorded by Lillehoj [24]. This difference may be due to differences in the age of the broilers, the strain of the parasite used or the genetic background of the birds [25]. The present study showed the expression of CD<sub>4</sub> cells subset by blood lymphocytes of broilers infected with the various developmental stages of *Eimeria tenella*. This may indicate the induction of adaptive immune response to the infection [26]. The CD<sub>4</sub> cell count expresses the numerical reactions of the broilers to oral administration of the different developmental stages of *Eimeria tenella*, revealing the stimulation of the immune system. This agrees with the reports of Hong and Lemus[27,28]. The

study also revealed that the number of CD<sub>4</sub> cells were significantly higher in the treated, than non-treated broilers. This is consistent to the finding of Hong, et al., who reported higher number of CD<sub>4</sub> lymphocytes in infected birds treated than the non-treated ones [27].

The present study also demonstrated that the CD<sub>4</sub> lymphocytes count increased at the different periods of infection with the various developmental stages of the parasite in both the treated and non- treated broilers. This is similar to the study of Basse et al., who observed that the CD<sub>4</sub> changes follow the phases of the parasite cycle for the *Eimeria* species considered [29]. There was also no significant difference in the CD<sub>4</sub> cells count in both the treated and non-treated birds at secondary and tertiary infections. This is in accordance with the reports of Basse et al. in infections of birds with *Eimeria tenella* [29]. In summary, this work add to our understanding of the ability of the various developmental stages of *Eimeria tenella* to induce immune responses in the chicken.

## Conclusion

The following can be concluded from the results obtained:

1. An immune response against caecal coccidiosis could be established by immunization with *Eimeria tenella*-specific sporulated oocyst (sporozoites) and merozoites as well as other stages in birds of less than four weeks old
2. The prominent cytokines detected in the infected broilers were IFN-  $\gamma$  , IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, TNF and TGF, while the

immunoglobulins are IgG or IgY.

3. Circulating CD<sub>4</sub> lymphocytes subset count increased with the duration of infection.

## References

1. Hamilton WD, Zuk M. Heritable true fitness and bright birds : a role for parasite? *Science*. 1982;218(4570):384-387.
2. Johnsen TS, Zuk M. Parasites and tradeoffs in the immune response of female red jungle fowl. *Oikos*, 1999;86(3):487-492.
3. Christe P, Moller AP, Saino N, de Lope F. Genetic and environmental components of phenotypic variation in immune response and body size of a colonial bird, *Delichon urbica* (the house martin). *Heredity*. 2000;85:75-83.
4. Horak P, Zilmer M, Saks I, Karu U, Zilmer K. Antioxidant protection, carotenoids and the cost of immune challenge in greenfinches. *Journal of Experimental Biology*. 2006;209:4329-4338.
5. Berndt A, Methner U. Gamma/delta T cell response of chicken after oral administration of attenuated and non-attenuated *Salmonella typhimurium* strains. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology*. 2001;78(2):143-161.
6. Davidson F, Kasper B, Schat KA. *Avian Immunology*. Academic press, Elsevier Ltd. London, 2008.
7. Berndt A, Pieper J, Methner U. Circulating  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in response to *Salmonella enteric* Scrovar Enteritidis Exposure in chickens. *Infection and Immunity*. 2006;74(7):3967-3978.
8. Plateau Agricultural Development Programme (PADP). Annual Report. 2002;
9. Talebi A, Mulcahy J. Correlation between immune responses and oocyst production in chickens monospecifically infected with *Eimeria maxima*. *Avian Pathology*.1995;24(3):485-495.
10. Miyamoto T, Min W, Lillehoj HS. Lymphocyte proliferation response during *Eimeria tenella* infection assessed by a new, reliable, Nonradioactive Colomrimetric assay. *Avian Disease*. 2002;46(1):10-16.
11. Pfohl JL, Hester JB, Doelling VW, Girard RS, Hutchins JE, Murray DL, et al. Development of a highly, quantitative reproducible assay for determination of chicken T cell growth biological activity. *Poultry Scienc*. 1997;76(10):1379-1386.
12. Ansar AS, Sriranganathan N. Differential effects of dexamethasone on the thymus and spleen: alterations in programmed cell death, lymphocyte subsets and activation of T cells. *Immunopharmacology*. 1994;28(1):55-66.
13. Ansar AS, Gogal RM, Walsh JE. A new rapid and non-radioactive assay to monitor and determine the proliferation of lymphocytes: An alternative to [<sup>3</sup>H]thymidine incorporation assay. *J. Immunol. Methods*. 1994;170(2):211-124.
14. Gadde U, Chapman HD, Rathinam T, Erf GF. cellular immune responses, chemokines and cytokine profiles in turkey poult following infection with the intestinal parasite *Eimeria adenoeides*. *Poult sci*. 2011;90(10):2243-2250.
15. Oldham D. Current concept in immunology. In principles of cancer biotherapy, springer Publishing house, Heidelberg, london pp. 2009;85-99.
16. Chapman HD, Matsler PL, Muthavarapu VK, Chapman ME. Acquisition of immunity of to *Eimeria Maxima* in newly hatched chickens given 100 oocyst. *Avian Diseases*. 2005;49(3):426-429.
17. Chow YP, Wan KL, Blake DP, Tomley F, Nathan MS. Immunogenic *Eimeria tenella* glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored surface antigens (SAGs) induce inflammatory responses in avian macrophages. 2011;6(9). DOI : 10.1371/Journal. Pone. PLoS ONE 6 :0025233.
18. Molloy JB, Jorgensen WK, Coleman GT. Antibody response against endogenous stages of an attenuated *Eimeria tenella*. *Veterinary Parasitology*. 2008;154(3-4):193-204.
19. Jenkins MC, Augustine PC, Danforth HD, Barta JR. X irradiation of *Eimeria tenella* oocysts provides direct evidence that sporozoite invasion and early schizont development induce a protective immune responses. *Infection and Immunology*. 1991;59(11):4042-4048.
20. Kiani R, Farhang HH. Development of an ELISA test for serological diagnosis of coccidial infections and studying of resistance against coccidiostats based on flock history. *Asian Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2008;1:77-83.
21. Rose ME, Hesketh P. *Eimeria tenella* : Effects of immunity on sporozoites within the lumen of the small intestine. *Experimental Parasitology*, 1987;63(3):337-344.
22. Taberes E, Ferguson D, Clark J, Soon PE, Wan KL. *Eimeria tenella* sporozoites and merozoites differentially express glycosulphatidylinosol-anchored variant surface proteins. *Molecular Biochemistry and Parasitology*. 2004;135(1):123-132.
23. Onaga H, Nakamiura T. An Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay with recombinant merozoite protein as an antibodies of *Eimeria necatrix*. *Avian Diseases*. 2003;47(2):309-318.
24. Lillehoj HS. Postnatal development of T-Lymphocytes subpopulations in the intestine intraepithelium and lamina propria in chickens. *Vet. Immunol immunopathol* . 1992;31(3-4):347-360.
25. Bucy RP, Chen CLH, Cihak J, Losch U, Cooper MD. Avian T cells expressing gamma delta receptor localized in splenic sinusoids and intestinal epithelium. *J. immunol*. 1988;141(7):2200-2205.
26. Gadde U, Rathinam T, Erfi GF, Chapman HD. Acquisition of immunity to the protozoan *Eimeria adenoeides* in turkey poults and cellular responses to infection. *Poultry science*. 2013;92(12):3149-3157.
27. Hong YH, Lillehoj HS, Lee SH, Dalloul RA, Lillehoj EP. Analysis of chicken cytokine and chemokine gene expression following *Eimeria acervulina* and *Eimeria tenella* infections. *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol*. 2006;114(3-4):209-223.
28. Lemus J, Vergara P, Fargallo A. Responses of circulating T-lymphocytes to a coccidian infection. Insights from Parasitization-Vaccination experiment. 2010;24(3):638-645.
29. Bassey M, Vern YL, Kerboeuf D, Yvone P, Quere P. Changes in intestinal intra-epithelial and systematic T-cell subpopulations after an *Eimeria* infection in chickens : Comparative study between *Eimeria acervulina* and *Eimeria tenella*. 1996;27(4-5):503-514.