Exploring the Potential of Creatine Ingestion to Maintain Muscle Function during Immobilization
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Abstract

Limb immobilization (casting) results in a loss of muscle mass along with decrements in muscle strength and endurance. In addition, immobilization has been shown to impair high energy phosphate catabolism in skeletal muscle. Creatine ingestion in conjunction with resistance training can significantly increase muscle force production, augment muscle size increases, and improve energy-rich phosphate catabolism in skeletal muscle. Early investigations found that creatine supplementation can maintain muscle size, strength, and endurance during short-term (1-2 wk) immobilization. Future research should focus on whether creatine supplementation can also prevent muscle metabolic consequences during immobilization. Creatine ingestion during short-term immobilization may help to identify the underlying mechanisms that contribute to the reported ergogenic effects.
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Introduction

The effects of muscle disuse include decrease in muscle Cross-Sectional Area (CSA) [1], reduced muscle specific force [2,3], alterations in muscle contractile properties [4], increased muscle protein degradation [5], change in muscle fiber type distribution from type I to type II fibers [6], neuromuscular adjustments [7], and a shift in muscle metabolic properties including loss of oxidative properties [8]. The aforementioned muscle change occurs as a result of inactivity, ageing, bed rest, spaceflight, limb suspension, or joint immobilization. Joint immobilization has been particularly useful as a model to investigate changes in skeletal muscle from disuse [5,9]. Recent evidence suggests that, Creatine (Cr) supplementation may slow the rate of muscle loss and dysfunction during cast-induced immobilization [10,11]. The goal of this article is to review the role of Cr in muscle energy metabolism and exercise performance, muscle function, the consequences of muscle disuse during immobilization, and to argue for the potential use of oral Cr supplementation to minimize the changes in muscle size and function during immobilization.

Biochemistry of Creatine

Cr is a nitrogenous amino acid compound with the chemical name α-Methylguanidinoacetic Acid. Cr has a positive charge with a molecular weight of 131 Da [12]. Cr was discovered in 1835 by the French scientist Chevreul, with the first supplementation studies beginning in the early 1900s [13,14,15]. The role of Cr in energy metabolism was clarified with the discovery of Phosphocreatine (PCr) in 1927, followed by the Creatine Kinase (CK) reaction in 1934 [16]. CK catalyzes the reversible transfer of the γ-phosphate group of Adenosine Triphosphate (ATP) to the guanidine group of Cr to yield Adenosine Diphosphate (ADP), phosphocreatine (PCr) and a Proton (H+) (Figure 1). Thus, Cr is the substrate of CK to form PCr [12]. The high-energy phosphoryl group of PCr is transferred to ADP during exercise to maintain ATP concentrations in skeletal muscle and cross-bridge cycling.
Cr can then be recycled or transformed to Creatinine (Crn) to be excreted in the urine [12]. During recovery from intense exercise, the large pool of PCr in fast-twitch skeletal muscle allows immediate regeneration of ATP (Figure 1). Due to the high cytosolic content of CK in skeletal muscle, the CK reaction remains at near equilibrium maintaining an almost constant ATP concentration, and thus buffers the cytosolic phosphorylation potential that is crucial for optimal cellular ATPase function [16].

The demand for Cr approximates 2 g·d⁻¹ to replace the catabolised Cr that is excreted from the kidneys as creatinine (Crn) [17]. The daily requirement for Cr can be met through a combination of de novo biosynthesis (~1 g·d⁻¹) and intestinal absorption of dietary Cr (~1 g·d⁻¹) [12]. The amino acids glycine, arginine, and methionine are involved in the endogenous synthesis of Cr in the liver, kidney, and pancreas. The first two steps of Cr biosynthesis involve the transfer of the amidino group of arginine to glycine, which is catalyzed by L-Arginine:glycine amidinotransferase (AGAT), to yield L-ornithine and guanidinoacetate. Guanidinoacetate is then methylated at the amidino group via the action of S-adenosyl-L-methionine:N-guanidinoacetate methyltransferase (GAMT) to yield S-adenosyl-L-homocysteine and Cr [16]. The formation of guanidinoacetate by AGAT is the rate-limiting step in Cr synthesis [18]. Endogenous Cr biosynthesis is reduced following in ceased dietary Cr ingestion [18], but returns to normal once dietary intake is reduced [19].

An omnivorous diet provides approximately 1 g·d⁻¹ of Cr with normal plasma Cr levels ranging from 50-100 μmol·L⁻¹ [17,20]. Typical dietary sources of Cr include meat, fish, and other animal products. Following ingestion, Cr is absorbed by the gut and enters the bloodstream where it accumulates in CK-containing tissues, predominately skeletal muscle. Greater than 90% of Cr enters the skeletal muscle through the binding of specific transporter proteins located in the muscle fiber membranes [12]. The main Cr transporter expressed in skeletal muscle is Creatine Transporter 1 (CRT-1) [21]. CRT-1 belongs to a super-family of neurotransmitter transporters and is mediated by Na⁺-K⁺ ATPase mechanisms which are dependent on extracellular concentrations of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ [22]. The CRT-1 transport mechanism demonstrates a Michaelis-Menten constant (Kₘ) for Cr in the micromolar range of 15–30 μmol·L⁻¹, which allows for sufficient Cr transport into skeletal muscle [21].

An average 70 kg male main attains approximately 120 g of Cr, of which 95% is stored in the skeletal muscle [23]. The Total Creatine (Tcr) pool in the muscle exists as both Free Creatine (Fcr) and PCr, with approximately 60% of the TCr as PCR, and the remainder as FCr [20]. As explained earlier, Cr is an important source of energy for muscle contraction because of its rapid phosphorylation to form Cr, along with the reversible phosphorylation of ADP to form ATP via the CK reaction. Due to the limited availability of ATP and PCr in muscle, Cr supplementation may increase TCr concentrations; facilitate in transmuscular PCR and subsequent ATP formation, thus prolonging high-intensity exercise performance [24]. Cr supplementation has also been shown to enhance myosin head-croossbridge recycling [16], buffer acidosis by consuming H⁺ during the CK reaction [12], increase the rate of glycolysis through the Phosphofructokinase (PFK) reaction [25], and shorten muscle relaxation time during intermittent maximal isometric contractions [26].

Muscle Changes During Immobilization

There are substantial immediate and longer-term physiologic changes in human skeletal muscle as a consequence of disuse. Muscle fiber atrophy is one of the most studied responses to muscle disuse in humans [27]. Early research on the effects of muscle atrophy was conducted on patients that were plaster cast immobilized following limb trauma or surgery [27,28,29,30]. It should be noted that trauma, surgery, and pain may accelerate the atrophic response in the immobilized limb [31]. Subsequent research has used immobilization as a model for muscle disuse atrophy in healthy volunteers [9,32]. Additional models of disuse atrophy include limb suspension [33] and bed rest [34], which is often used to investigate muscle changes incurred during spaceflight. Although it is tempting to assign a value to the rate of muscle change or loss during disuse, research clearly shows that the type of disuse protocol and the muscle group affected determines the rate of decrement in size and function of the muscle [35].

Muscle atrophy following immobilization has been a topic of inquiry for over a century. Clinical observations of human skeletal muscle atrophy following mobilization corroborated earlier research with monkeys [36,37]. Anthropometrically measured leg volume has shown a 12% reduction following a mean of 131 days of leg immobilization [38]. Compared to disuse models of bed rest and lower limb suspension, immobilization resulted in greater atrophy (20% decrement versus 8%) of the quadriceps femoris muscle [39]. Veldhuizen et al. [40] investigated the effect of thigh muscle size and function following four weeks of knee immobilization. Quadriceps cross-sectional area (CSA) was calculated with Computed Tomography (CT) and showed a decrease of 21%±7% (p<0.05). In addition, muscle biopsy from the vastus lateralis revealed a 16% decrease (p<0.05) in fiber diameter. There is less data and equivocal results concerning the atrophy of the upper limbs. For example, 21 days of wrist immobilization has resulted in no significant atrophy of the forearm muscles [41], while 9 days of wrist immobilization resulted in significant atrophy (4.1% decrease in CSA) of the forearm muscles [9]. Although there was no significant change in forearm muscle size following 21 days of wrist immobilization, there was a significant decrease in grip strength and endurance and a prolonged post-exercise PCR recovery period.

There are also significant alterations in neuromuscular properties that appear within the first few days of muscle disuse. Research suggests that neural factors, primarily central activation, can explain approximately 50% of the strength loss during four weeks of muscle unweighting [42]. Immobilization also has been shown to alter the functional properties of motor units [4]. For example, Clark et al. [43] evaluated the neuromuscular properties during and following 3 weeks of hand/forearm cast immobilization. Immobilization was shown to impair central activation of skeletal muscle, corticospinal excitability, and
muscle contractile properties. These changes are surprisingly rapid and can significantly alter motor neural activity in as little as one week [44].

Deficits in strength following immobilization cannot entirely be accounted for decrease in muscle CSA and neural alterations. Metabolic factors, including changes in Inorganic Phosphate (Pi), have been shown to contribute altered muscle contractile function [45]. Pathare et al. [8] studied the effects of 7 weeks of immobilization of the ankle joint on skeletal muscle using 31P MRS and muscle biopsy. Following immobilization, there was a significant decrease in plantar flexor torque and a significant increase in the Pi concentration (p<0.001). Single fiber measurements demonstrated an inverse relationship between Pi concentration and relative force production. The alterations in resting Pi concentration may contribute to strength deficits during immobilization independent of decreases in CSA and neuromuscular impairments [46]. These results suggest that Cr supplementation may be able to play a role in maintaining muscle function during immobilization by maintaining a lower Pi/PCr ratio and thus mitigating the decrease in muscle force production.

Creatine Supplementation and Skeletal Muscle Metabolism

Oral Cr supplementation at a dose of 20 g·d⁻¹ for 3-5 days (loading phase) increases Total Muscle Creatine (Tcr) content, free creatine (fCr) and PCr [17,47]. Early work using muscle biopsies showed that Cr ingestion favorably improved muscle metabolism by increasing PCr availability in type II muscle fibers as well as enhancing muscle PCr resynthesis during recovery from intense muscle contractions resulting in fatigue resistance during short duration high-intensity exercise [48,49,50]. Subsequent non-invasive research on human skeletal muscle in vivo using 31P MRS has shown similar muscle metabolic improvements during intermittent maximal exercise.

Yquel et al. [51] examined the effect of creatine ingestion at 20 g·d⁻¹ for 6 days on muscle power, PC resynthesis, inorganic phosphate (Pi), and pH during 8 repeated brief bouts of maximal dynamic plantar flexion exercise. 31P MRS of the medial gastrocnemius muscle was recorded on a superconducting magnet operating at 4.7 Tesla. Following Cr supplementation, resting muscle PCr increased by 15%, along with an increased rate of muscle PCr resynthesis, a lower Pi concentration, higher muscle pH, and improved maintenance of muscle power output. In another study using 31P MRS by Rico-Sanz [52], Cr supplementation (5 g·d⁻¹ x 11days) reduced net muscle PCr utilization, Pi accumulation, and decreases in pH during repeated bouts of low-intensity isometric exercise (32% of MVC) of the plantar flexors to exhaustion. These results suggest that Cr supplementation improves muscle metabolism during intermittent intense exercise due to a combination of enhanced muscle PCr stores, lowered Pi, higher pH, and improved PCr recovery kinetics.

The changes in muscle metabolism following Cr supplementation may be influenced by age, the muscle or muscle groups being tested, the type of muscular contraction, or the method used to assess PCr recovery kinetics. Smith et al. [53] compared the effects of Cr supplementation on young and middle-aged men and women during dynamic knee-extension exercise in a whole body magnet resonance system and concluded that Cr ingestion improved PCr availability and resynthesis rate in middle-aged versus younger individuals. Other research has shown no effect of Cr supplementation on PCr resynthesis rate of the gastrocnemius muscle during intermitted plantar flexor isometric contractions [26]. Subsequent research has even shown a slowing of the PCr kinetics during exercise and recovery following Cr supplementation [54]. It should be noted, however, that both of the aforementioned study finding shows no improvement or impaired PCr recovery kinetics reported an increase in resting PCr concentrations and subsequent improvements in muscle performance following Cr supplementation. While the exact mechanisms of muscle metabolic improvements may be debatable, the improvements in muscle strength and endurance following Cr supplementation remain consistent throughout the literature.

Creatine Supplementation and Short-Duration High Intensity Exercise

Cr supplementation (~ 20 g·d⁻¹) can increase isokinetic peak muscle torque [47,55], peak power [56], as well as improved 1 RM strength performance [57]. Cr supplementation has been shown to increase muscle power during repeated, short bouts of high-intensity exercise in young healthy females and men 30-60 years of age [58,59]. For example, Cr supplementation (5×6 g·d⁻¹), maintained exercise power by mitigating the decline in work output from baseline over 10 6-s bouts of intermittent high-intensity cycling exercise [49]. Cr supplementation (5×5 g·d⁻¹) increased peak power by 20.1% (from 106±124 to 132±69 Watts) and mean power by 14.4% (from 582±58.6 to 699±26.1 Watts) during 3 Anaerobic Wingate Tests (AWT) with 6 min active recovery between bouts [60]. Ziegenfuss et al. [61] studied the effects of 3 days of Cr supplementation (0.35 g·kg⁻¹ of fat-free mass) on 10 male and 10 female power athletes during six maximal 10 s cycle sprints interspersed with 60 s of recovery. Cr supplementation resulted in statistically significant increases in body mass (0.9 ±0.1 kg, p<0.03), total work during the first sprint (p<0.04), and peak power during sprints 2 to 6 (p<0.10).

The improvements in exercise performance from Cr supplementation may be due to increased skeletal muscle PCr which may serve as a temporal energy buffer as well as a modulator of glycolysis resulting in delayed neuromuscular fatigue. Stout et al. [62] found improvements in neuromuscular fatigue after 5 days of Cr loading (4×5 g·d⁻¹) in trained female athletes, with a significant (p<0.05) increase in physical working capacity at the fatigue threshold. Most recently, Smith et al. [63] examined the effects of 5 days of Cr loading (4×5 g·d⁻¹) versus placebo (PL– 10 g of flavored dextrose powder) on the Electromyographic Fatigue Threshold (EMGₚᵦ) in college-aged women. Prior to and following supplementation, each subject performed a discontinuous incremental cycle ergometer test to determine their EMGₚᵦ value from the vastus lateralis muscle. Cr supplementation increased EMGₚᵦ from pre- to post-supplementation by 14.5% ±3.5%.
There was no change for the PL group (-2.2±5.8%; p=0.732). These results suggest that Cr may delay the onset of neuromuscular fatigue during intermittent high intensity exercise.

**Creative Supplementation and Moderate to Long-Duration Exercise**

In addition to the improvements during high-intensity exercise, there is evidence that Cr supplementation can improve moderate to long-duration exercise performance. Preen et al. [64] examined the effect of Cr supplementation (20 g d⁻¹ for 5 days) on long-term (~80 min) repeated-sprint cycle exercise (10 sets of 5 or 6x6 s maximal bike sprints). Total work done increased 6% (from 251.7±18.4 kJ to 266.9±19.3 kJ; p<0.05) following Cr ingestion whereas there was no change in the placebo group (254.0±10.4 kJ to 252.3±9.3 kJ; NS). Cr supplementation has been shown to improve VO₂ kinetics during exercise below the Ventilatory Threshold (VT) [65]. Nelson and co-workers [66] investigated the effects of 7 days of Cr supplementation (4×5 g·d⁻¹) on cardiorespiratory responses to a graded exercise cycle test to exhaustion. Cr significantly increased (p<0.05) total test time vs. placebo. In addition, VO₂ and heart rate were significantly lower at the end of each of the first five exercise stages following Cr supplementation, but were unchanged after placebo. Moreover, Cr supplementation increased the VT (pre Cr=2.2±0.4 l·min⁻¹ or 66% of VO₂peak vs. post Cr=2.6±0.5 l·min⁻¹ or 78% of VO₂peak). In another study by Rico-Sanz and Mendez Marco [67], Cr supplementation (20 g·d⁻¹×5d) improved time to exhaustion from 29.9±3.8 min pre-Cr to 36.5±5.7 min post-Cr (p<0.05) versus no change in the placebo group (38.1±5.6 and 40.8±5.7 min pre-treatment and post-treatment, respectively (NS)).

**Molecular Mechanisms of Creative Supplementation for Muscle Hypertrophy**

More recently, research has focused on the effect of Cr supplementation on molecular pathways in skeletal muscle. Olson et al. [68] investigated the influence of Cr and protein supplementation on satellite cell and mononuclear number in human skeletal muscle during 16 weeks of resistance training. Using a double-blind design, 32 healthy male subjects were assigned to strength training (3 days per week) with Cr supplementation to investigate muscle mass, strength, and endurance [10]. Using a single-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover design for 29 days, Cr was supplemented (20 g·d⁻¹) to Cr naïve men (n=7; 18-25 years) during 7 days of upper-limb immobilization. During immobilization, compared to placebo, Cr supplementation better

maintained muscle lean tissue mass (Cr +0.9% vs. PL ‒3.7%) of the upper arm as measured with Dual-Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry (DEXA). In addition, Cr supplementation significantly (p<0.05) maintained elbow flexor strength (Cr ‒4.1% vs. PL ‒21.5%) and endurance (Cr ‒9.6% vs. PL ‒43%), and elbow extensor strength (Cr ‒3.8% vs. PL ‒18%) and endurance (Cr ‒6.5% vs. PL ‒35%) over placebo. These results show that short-term Cr supplementation can slow down the muscle atrophy and strength and endurance loss during periods of immobilization.

Fransen et al. [11], investigated the effects of Cr supplementation on muscle performance and muscle phosphagen levels after cast-immobilization. Twenty-five active individuals (24±4 years) performed wrist flexion exercise in a 1.9 Tesla superconducting magnet before and after 1 week of cast-immobilization, while casted participants consumed either 20 g day⁻¹ of Cr or a placebo (PLA). An incremental protocol to fatigue and two constant load (CL1 and CL2) exercise bouts were performed. Total work was significantly reduced over time in both groups (p<0.049) during the incremental exercise bout. Work production in CL1 tended (p=0.073) to attenuate in the CR group, compared to PLA. Baseline PCr significantly decreased with casting in PLA (PRE: 26.6±6.3 vs. POST: 22.5±5.6 mM kg⁻¹ wet muscle, p=0.003) with no change (p=0.31) in the Cr group. Changes in work production were significantly correlated with changes in resting PCr in Cr group (r=-0.63, p=0.021) but not PLA group (r=-0.36, p=0.26). These results suggest decreases in short-term muscle endurance following cast-immobilization may be due to alternations of PCR status and/or metabolism.

There are other mechanisms by which Cr supplementation may attenuate muscle dysfunction during periods of immobilization. For example, Cr supplementation has been shown to improve gluoregulation in skeletal muscle [75]. Investigation into the effect of oral Cr supplementation on Glucose Transporter Type 4 (GLUT4) protein content along with muscle glycogen and TCr content during immobilization and subsequent training was conducted [69]. The right leg of each participant was immobilized for 2 weeks after which all subjects participated in a resistance exercise program for 10 weeks. Immobilization decreased GLUT4 in the placebo group by 20% (p=0.05) with no significant change in the Cr group (+9%, NS). During rehabilitation, Cr intake increased GLUT4 by ~40% (p<0.05), whereas the placebo group normalized pre-immobilization levels. Moreover, muscle glycogen and TCr were higher with Cr supplementation following 3 weeks of retraining. These results suggest that oral Cr ingestion may improve gluoregulation during immobilization and during subsequent rehabilitation training.

Safety of Creatine Supplementation

In the scientific literature, Cr has been shown to be a safe and effective supplement. In fact, weight gain is the only documented side effect of Cr ingestion [76]. Despite the impressive safety record, concerns have been raised by the popular media and scientific community regarding possible adverse effects of Cr supplementation. There have been anecdotal reports in the popular literature that Cr may cause gastrointestinal upset, cause diarrhea, and promote muscle cramping and dehydration. Schilling et al. [77] examined the long-term (0.8–4 yr) safety of Cr supplementation in 26 competitive athletes (18 male and 8 females, 24.7±9.2 yr) and found no evidence of increased incidence of muscle injury, cramps, or any other side effects. Some researchers speculated that Cr supplementation could result in an intracellular fluid shift that could reduce extracellular fluid compartment and impair thermoregulation. In a recent meta-analysis [78], the authors concluded that there is no evidence that supports the concept that Cr supplementation either hinders the body’s ability to dissipate heat or negatively affect body’s fluid balance. Controlled experimental trials of athletes exercising in the heat resulted in no adverse effects from Cr supplementation at recommended dosages of 5-20 g·day⁻¹.

There are claims that Cr supplementation may promote liver damage and increase renal stress and/or impair renal function [79]. The concerns of Cr supplementation on renal physiology can be traced to case studies of possible renal dysfunction in individuals believed to have been supplementing Cr [80,81]. Conclusions regarding these case studies have been criticized because two of these individuals had pre-existing kidney disease, one may have been misdiagnosed, and another was apparently taking only 25 mg of Cr per serving, which makes the connection of Cr ingestion to renal dysfunction dubious. In each of these cases, elevations in Serum Creatinine (Crn) were initially used to diagnose renal stress. However, Cr is naturally degraded to Crn with the increased serum Crn levels most likely due to the initial Cr loading phase. Two case studies, [80,81], involved acute renal failure in young male subjects taking Cr in recommended dosages with other sports supplements, it is unknown if the patients were taking anabolic steroids, which are known to increase renal stress. Contrary to these isolated case studies, Cr supplementation in controlled research experiments have, consistently demonstrated safety [79]. Even with this evidence it seems prudent to suggest that those with pre-existing kidney or liver disease abstain from Cr consumption and seek advice from a medical professional.

Studies measuring urinary Crn clearance and/or iohexol infusion techniques to assess glomerular filtration found no renal dysfunction in individuals ingesting Cr from 21 months to longer than 5 years [82,83,84,85]. In summary, there is no scientific evidence that Cr supplementation at recommended doses in healthy individuals can induce impairment in renal function. Likewise, there is no scientific evidence to suggest that Cr has any impact on liver function following short- or long-term supplementation [56]. Robinson et al. [85], reported no adverse effects on hepatic function during short-duration (20g ·d⁻¹ for 5 days) or long-term (3g ·d⁻¹ for 63 days) Cr supplementation.

In an effort to examine the long-term health effects of Cr supplementation, Kreider et al. [86], studied 98 college football players over a 21-month period. Cr was administered at 15.75·d⁻¹ for 5 days and then averaged 5g ·d⁻¹ for the remainder of the study. Fasting blood and 24-hr urine samples were collected at 0, 1, 1.5, 4, 6, 10, 12, 17, and 21 months of training. Short or long-term Cr supplementation had no significant effect on a 54-item panel of quantitative blood and urine markers or on a 15-item panel of
qualitative urine markers. Cr supplementation did not cause any clinically significant changes in serum metabolic markers, muscle and liver enzyme eflux, serum electrolytes, blood lipid profiles, red and white whole blood cell hematology, or quantitative and qualitative urinary markers of renal function. This study suggests Cr supplementation is safe for up to 21 months. Longer duration (>2 years) studies are needed to determine continued safety.

While the hypothetical side effects of Cr have been hotly debated in the public media, less attention has been directed to several possible health benefits of Cr supplementation. For example, research suggests that Cr may improve blood lipid profiles. In a study conducted by Earnest et al. [87], Cr supplementation (20g·d⁻¹ for 5 days, followed by 10g·d⁻¹ for 51 days) in mildly hypertriglyceridemic and hypercholesterolemic subjects was shown to reduce plasma concentrations of total cholesterol, triacylglycerols, and very-low-density lipoprotein-C by 5-26%, while having no effect on low-density lipoprotein-C, high-density lipoprotein-C, and Crn concentrations. There is also evidence that Cr supplementation may provide neuroprotection against mitochondrial dysfunction by improving neuronal cell energy metabolism thus delaying apoptosis that occurs during neurodegenerative disorders such as Alzheimer’s Disease [88,89,90].

Conclusion

Joint immobilization has multifaceted physiologic implications including changes in musculoskeletal morphology, neuromuscular function, muscle contractile properties, and metabolic processes. Cr supplementation in ambulatory subjects has been shown to enhance muscle glycolytic metabolism and improve local muscle and total body exercise performance. Moreover, Cr supplementation potentiates skeletal muscle anabolism when combined with resistance exercise training, and when used alone, mitigates muscle catabolism during periods of muscle disuse. Future research should focus on muscle metabolic consequences of immobilization combined with Cr supplementation. Potential benefits of Cr ingestion during short-term immobilization should be explored to identify the underlying mechanisms that contribute to the reported protective effects. Longer periods of immobilization and other disuse models such as bed rest and spaceflight could then be investigated to determine if Cr provides similar protection. In conclusion, Cr supplementation appears to be safe, effective, and possibly a useful countermeasure against the deleterious effects of muscle changes during joint immobilization.
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