Severity of Glaucoma at First Presentation to Hospital Eye Services
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Abstract

Context/Background: To identify the proportion of patients with glaucoma presenting to hospital eye services with advanced disease and determine risk factors for late presentation.

Methods: A retrospective study was conducted including 100 consecutive patients newly referred from community optometrists to the glaucoma clinic at the Princess Alexandra Eye Pavilion, Edinburgh, Scotland. All subjects underwent slit lamp examination, intraocular pressure (IOP), central corneal thickness (CCT), fundus examination and standard automated perimetry (SAP). 84 patients with glaucoma were categorised according to SAP mean deviation (MD) in the worse eye as ‘late presenters’ if MD was ≤ -6dB; and ‘very late presenters’ if MD was ≤ -10dB. Logistic regression analysis identified factors associated with late presentation.

Results: Mean age was 73.2 ± 10.2 years. 36 patients (42.9%) were female and 28 (33.3%) had a family history of glaucoma. 41 of 84 (48.8%) had an MD in the worse eye ≤ -6dB and 23 of 84 (27.4%) had an MD in the worse eye ≤ -10dB. Older age (p = 0.004) was a significant risk factor for late presentation. Gender (p = 0.660), IOP (p = 0.712), CCT (p = 0.968), and family history (p = 0.108) were not associated with late presentation.

Conclusions: Half of patients presenting to hospital eye services for the first time with newly diagnosed glaucoma had a MD ≤ -6dB in at least one eye. Older patients were more likely to present with advanced disease. Increasing awareness of glaucoma in the elderly population may allow earlier diagnosis and reduce vision related morbidity.
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Introduction

Glaucoma, a chronic optic neuropathy, is the second most common cause of blindness worldwide [1-3]. As visual loss from glaucoma is irreversible, early diagnosis is important for timely initiation of treatment and preservation of vision [4]. Patients with glaucoma may remain asymptomatic until advanced stages of the disease; therefore, detection of glaucoma often relies on detection of abnormality on routine eye examinations [5,6].

In the UK, free eye examinations are available in primary care with community optometrists. In Scotland, all residents aged over 60 years are entitled to an annual eye examination, in addition to all those over the age of 40 years with a first-degree relative with glaucoma [7]. In those at risk of glaucoma, the NHS eye examination includes tonometry, optic disc examination and assessment of the visual field. If glaucoma is suspected, the patient will be referred to the hospital eye service for further examination with an ophthalmologist, confirmation of the diagnosis and any necessary treatment [8]. Despite the widespread availability of free eye examinations patients continue to present with advanced glaucoma, which is a major concern as advanced glaucoma at presentation is a risk factor for subsequent blindness [5].

Much research has focused on the detection of early glaucoma, however more needs to be done to examine and address the reasons for presenting with advanced disease (late presentation). Previous studies have identified risk factors associated with glaucoma to include older age, thin central corneal thickness (CCT), diabetes and family history of glaucoma in first degree relative [9-15]. Previously identified risk factors for late presentation include black ethnicity, socioeconomic status and older age [10,16-18]. The purpose of this paper was to determine the proportion of patients presenting to hospital services with advanced glaucoma, and examine risk factors for late presentation.

Methods

Study Population and Data Collection

We performed a retrospective chart review of 100 consecutive patients with glaucoma or suspected glaucoma referred from community optometrists to the glaucoma clinic at Princess Alexandra Eye Pavilion, Edinburgh, Scotland. The quality improvement panel at Princess Alexandra Eye Pavilion Edinburgh prospectively approved the study methods, and the
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There was also no association between IOP at presentation and late presentation ($p=0.712$) (Figure 4). The mean IOP of late and non-late presenters was $20.4 \pm 5.6$ mmHg and $20.0 \pm 5.2$ mmHg respectively.

Discussions

The results of this study demonstrate that a large proportion of patients diagnosed with glaucoma have significant visual field loss at the time of diagnosis, even in a country where free eye health checks are available. Almost 50% of patients in this study presented with a MD ≤ -6dB in the worse eye and over one quarter of patients presented with a MD ≤ -10dB in the worse eye. Previous studies have suggested 10-20% of patients with glaucoma have advanced visual field loss at presentation and are at high risk for subsequent blindness. This quoted number (10-20%) is much lower than our study (48.8%). We chose -6dB as a cut of for later presenters in our study, but the definition for advanced glaucoma is somewhat arbitrary.

There are several possible reasons why patients may present late. As glaucoma is usually asymptomatic until there has been substantial loss of visual field, patients who do not have regular eye health checks may not attend their optometrist until they develop symptoms [21]. The other possibility is that patients may be having regular eye examinations but receive a poor level of care, enduring diagnostic and treatment delay [22,23]. The proportion of patients presenting with advanced disease is likely to vary in different countries, depending on the availability of eye care professionals and the awareness of the importance of eye examinations among the population.

We found older age to be a significant risk factor for late presentation, with the odds of late presentation increasing by 2-fold for each 10 years of increasing age, and the odds of very late presentation increasing by a factor of 1.66. At aged 40, patients had a 10% chance of presenting late, compared to 20% chance at age 60, and just over 40% chance at age 80 years. As glaucoma is more common with ageing and older patients will have had more time to accumulate damage, it is to be expected that older patients will have a greater likelihood of having advanced disease at presentation. Other reasons for older people presenting with more advanced disease might also include poor awareness of glaucoma or lack of regular eye examination, however it seems probable that older people are likely to be more aware of chronic diseases affecting their peers and more likely to seek regular eye exams [10,17,21,24].

The finding of an association between older age and severity of glaucoma at presentation should not be necessarily be interpreted as meaning resources for glaucoma detection should be directed more favourably at this group. Even though older patients had more advanced disease at presentation, in some cases, due to shorter life expectancy, their life-time risk of visual impairment may be less than younger patients presenting with less severe disease. Also the current system of free eye examinations in Scotland was only introduced 10 years ago and the effects of this initiative may take longer to manifest. Older
patients presenting with advanced disease now, may have missed out on eye examinations at an earlier age [25].

Prior and colleagues have interviewed late-presenting patients with regards to how and when their glaucoma was detected. One patient stated that they felt their vision was poor due to old age and just needing reading glasses, when in fact the real cause was glaucoma. Therefore, some patients may attribute visual loss due to glaucoma to “inevitable ageing” and may confuse signs with presbyopia. Another patient recounted that they were delays in referral from their general practitioner to the ophthalmologist. It had taken 16 weeks for the patient to be seen by a specialist and it was then that they were diagnosed with severe glaucoma. This reinforces the importance of educating both health professionals and patients with regards, to the presentation of glaucoma. In our study, optometrists referred all of the glaucoma suspects to the glaucoma specialist. Patients referred by a source other than their optometrist (e.g. GPs) are 4-5 times more likely to attend with late stage disease [9,20]. Investigating a correlation between late stage disease and referral source would provide more information regarding the obstacles our healthcare system faces in light of the increasing number of severe cases of glaucoma at first presentation.

The role of a high IOP and thinner CCT as risk factors in the development of glaucoma are well established however, we found no association between CCT or IOP and late presentation [11,12]. This is somewhat surprising as one might expect eyes with lower IOP to present late due to the historical emphasis placed on raised IOP in glaucoma case finding. Previous literature has suggested high IOP to best wrongly associated with late presentation of glaucoma [26,28]. A previous study by Jay and colleagues found that patients with untreated glaucoma presenting with IOPs greater than 21 mmHg were likely to progress to end stage visual loss in 14 years and those with initial pressures of greater than 30 mmHg likely to progress to end stage visual loss in only 3 years [27]. It might be that patients in our study are screened more thoroughly for IOPs and are referred sooner to specialists. Patients in other countries may have to be referred from optometrists to GPs or directly from the GPs with the intention of forward referral to an ophthalmologist. This may delay attendance and lead to later disease at presentation, which was commented on by other studies. Furthermore, it is very rare for the GPs to have the equipment or expertise to examine patients for glaucoma.

We found no association between family history of glaucoma and late presentation, in agreement with a previous study based in South Africa [28]. In contrast, Prior and colleagues found that those with a positive family history of glaucoma were less likely to present late than those with no family history whilst a third study found patients with a positive family history of glaucoma were more likely to present with advanced disease [17,25]. The association between family history and late presentation therefore seems somewhat variable and the risk of late presentation probably depends more on patient awareness of glaucoma risk and on other parameters such age, education and socioeconomic status [10,17,18].

The current study has some limitations. The sample size in this retrospective study is relatively small compared to other studies. For example, Buys et al analysed 290 patients in their study and Fraser et al had a sample size of 200 patients [9,18]. Furthermore, we believe that not all risk factors for late presentation were identified in this study. Although, we investigated the association between IOP, CCT, family history, gender, and age, other studies have identified other factors for late presentation such as lower socioeconomic status, African-Caribbean ethnicity, method of referral to hospital other than optometrist, and longer period of time since last visit to optometrist [10,16-18,21]. It would be particularly important to investigate a potential link between socio-economic status and late presentation, as this is a potentially confounding factor in the interpretation of our results and the finding of a relationship between lower socio-economic status and late presentation would support the need to introduce interventions to break down any barriers associated with access to eye care services in areas of higher deprivation [29].

Conclusions

In conclusion, although most patients with newly diagnosed glaucoma had early or moderate disease at presentation, almost half (48.8%) had an MD of ≤ -6dB in at least one eye and older patients were more likely to present late. Efforts to increase awareness of glaucoma may reduce vision related morbidity and allow earlier diagnosis.
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