Another group in which BT utilization is limited is the Jehovah's Witness (JW) population. The JW religion is a Christian-based faith founded in the United States characterized by the avoidance of BT, even if it may lead to morbidity or mortality [10]. Because of this belief, many JW patients may experience difficulty in finding surgeons willing to perform elective major operations.

Partial Nephrectomy (PN) has been recognized as the standard of care operation for the small renal mass (<4cm) [11]. While PN has been heralded as a nephron-sparing surgical approach, the incidence of post-operative complications - including hemorrhage and pseudoaneurysm - may prevent widespread adoption [12]. One potential advantage of Robotic Partial Nephrectomy (RPN) is that it may mitigate the hemorrhagic complications and thus make this operation more accessible [13]. This could have particular applications in the JW population.

We present the first published series of RPN in a group of JW patients.

**Methods**

After proper internal review board approval, we prospectively maintained a database of JW patients undergoing robot-assisted partial nephrectomy. The procedures were performed by a single surgeon at two institutions. Preoperative optimization with a hematologist was sought on every procedure, as a part of the Bloodless Medicine program at our institution.

All procedures were performed in a transperitoneal fashion. Intraoperative ultrasound was used to localize the tumor, and artery-only clamping was performed unless venous back-bleeding was encountered. Tumor excision was performed using a standard technique, with cold scissors and gentle retraction.

Renorrhaphy was performed using our innovation of the sliding-clip technique [14], which is unique to our institution. The suture consists of two V-LOC (Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN) sutures attached at the tails Figure 1. The first layer of closure is performed using the 3-0 V-LOC with a CV-23 needle, which is similar to a RV-1. Critical to this step is the approximation of the corticomedullary junction, as this tends to be the stronger layer. Also important in this step is the closure of any collecting
system violations, or obvious open vessels. Early unclamping of the renal hilum is performed after this layer, so that any open vessels are still visible. Next, the cortex and capsule are closed in a single layer using the 0 V-LOC with a GS-21 needle. This layer utilizes the sliding-clip technique in order to re-approximate the cortex. Prior to placement of the final suture, hemostatic agent is installed into the defect.

Patients were followed closely in the postoperative period for signs of anemia. Erythropoietin and supplemental iron therapy were utilized as indicated. Statistical analysis was performed on perioperative and follow-up data.

Results

Overall eight RPN were performed on JW patients between September 2010 and September 2014. Demographic and perioperative data can be found in Table 1. Mean age was 58.5 (52-78) years old and mean body mass index was 37.8 (27.8-61). Mean mass size was 3.2 cm (1.6-7.7) and mean nephrometry score 7.3 (5-11).

There were no intraoperative complications. One patient required a brief postoperative stay in the intensive care unit for anemia, where erythropoietin was administered (Clavien II). One patient also received erythropoietin preoperatively. Six of the tumors were clear cell Renal Cell Carcinoma (RCC), one was papillary RCC and one was a benign cyst. None of the cases were converted to total nephrectomy and there were no open conversions. There were no tumor recurrences. Intraoperative cell salvage (Cell saver – [Haemonetics, Braintree, MA]) was not utilized in any of our cases to preserve oncologic effectiveness. Two patients experienced an incisional hernia in the late postoperative period, and neither required surgery. Median follow-up was 21 months.

Discussion

This is the first description of RPN in a JW population. In our experience, RPN represents a safe and feasible option for JW patients with renal masses, and may allow better access to care in a potentially underserved population.

The Jehovah’s Witness religion is a restorationist Christian denomination with several beliefs which differ from mainstream Christianity [15]. The most pertinent of these differences is their beliefs on BT. Based on their interpretation of the Bible, JW patients are forbidden from accepting transfusion containing any cells or plasma, even if it is necessary to sustain life [16].

The JW religion has been a major current in the recent trend of bloodless medicine and surgery. The broad avoidance of BT is also supported by concerns of specific complications, including Transfusion Associated Lung Injury (TRALI) [3], infectious disease transmission [17], allergic reactions [18], and volume overload [19]. These issues, coupled with the persistent shortage of available blood, have led many to advocate a restricted or even bloodless approach to medicine and surgery.

Multiple authors have investigated the potential benefits of transfusion restriction in both medical and surgical patients. Herbert et al reported the results of a multi-center prospective trial comparing a restrictive vs. liberal BT protocol in critical care patients. They found that restrictions on BT were associated with a lower overall mortality as well as lower 30-day mortality in younger, healthier patients (8.7% in restricted vs. 16.1%, p = 0.03) [3]. Similar results were reported by a retrospective study of critical care patients in a large academic institution in the United States. The authors of that study found that increased BT was independently associated with longer hospital stay (RR 3.2 in patients undergoing > 4 BT) and increased mortality (RR 4.1 if > 4 BT) [20]. Roubinian et al found that after implementation of blood conservation strategies in critical care patients, the incidence of BT decreased significantly but mortality did not change [21].

Similarly, the conservative BT approach has been applied to
surgical patients. Hajjar et al performed a prospective randomized trial comparing conservative vs. liberal BT indications after cardiac surgery, and found 30-day mortality to be non-inferior in the conservative group [22]. A similar trend was found in hip replacement patients at 60-day follow up, in whom liberal and conservative BT strategies carried the same rate of mortality and ambulation timeframe [23]. Kilic recently conducted a comprehensive literature review of the available studies on BT after cardiac surgery. The authors concluded that there is a fine line between the morbidity caused by anemia and that caused by BT, and a more evidence-based approach is needed [24].

Urologic surgery has yet to experience a large-scale revolution in bloodless surgery, likely related to the lack of surgeries requiring high rates of BT. PN, now the standard of care for renal masses less than 4cm [11], represents an operation with high potential for BT. Hemorrhage is a significant concern both during and immediately after the operation. While the reported rate of this complication is variable (0.8%-8.4%), it can be catastrophic and result in high rate of BT [11,12,25,26]. In addition to early hemorrhage, late bleeding can occur in the form of a pseudoaneurysm. This complication, which is unique to PN, has been attributed to fistula formation by needle repositioning during renorrhaphy [27]. Therefore, PN is widely held as a risky operation for patients who must avoid BT, and prevention of bleeding is paramount for a successful a PN in the JW population.

Intraoperative red blood cell salvage was not utilized in our series. This technology, commonly utilized in the form of Cellsaver, has been an important aspect of the implementation of bloodless surgery programs [1]. Salvage techniques allow the collection, washing, concentration and reinfusion of shed blood [8]. While they have been effective in reducing autologous transfusion requirements, variable perceptions about their acceptance in the JW community has led to the limited application [28]. Our rationale for not using this technology was based on the potential concerns of spreading tumor cells to the patient’s vasculature [29], as well as the ability of our renorrhaphy technique to minimize bleeding.

In our study, we were able to safely perform eight PN without the need for BT. Two innovations which may explain our success are the inherent hemostatic advantages of therobotic approach and the unique renorrhaphy technique we used. The pneumoperitoneum of Laparoscopic Partial Nephrectomy (LPN) and RPN may have a mitigating effect on intraoperative hemorrhage, as has been proposed in the prostatectomy literature [30]. In addition, the 3-dimensional vision and wristed instruments of RPN may enhance the reconstructive portions compared to LPN [31]. One study retrospectively reviewed RPN and LPN at three institutions, and found that both blood loss (155 vs. 196 ml, p = 0.03) and Warm Ischemia Time (WIT) (19.7 vs. 28.4 minutes, p < 0.0001) were lower in the RPN group [32].

In addition to the use of robotic technology, an effective renorrhaphy can be helpful in preventing bleeding after PN. Our approach is simple, yet effective. Our two-layer closure starts with a 3-0 running V-LOC (Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN) suture approximating the cortico-medullary junction and collecting system while the hilum is still clamped. The second layer consists of a 12 inch running horizontal mattress 0 V-LOC suture which tightly reapproximates the renal capsule and cortex, and is performed after the early removal of the renal hilar clamp (Figure 2). We believe this technique greatly enhances the ability to close the defect tightly, thereby decreasing the risk of postoperative hemorrhage or fistulization.

These techniques represent a few simple and reproducible ways to prevent excessive blood loss during PN. Pneumoperitoneum and robotic technology have previously been shown to limit blood loss, and thus boost BT prevention strategies [1,33]. While we do believe our renorrhaphy technique represents an important innovation in bloodless surgery, it should be viewed more as an augmentation of existing techniques rather than a completely novel method. Though there have never been any side-by-side comparisons of renorrhaphy techniques, we believe our transfusion rate of zero and our mean EBL of 165mL (sd = 150) is comparable to that of the two largest RPN series by Long et al [33] (BT = 12.1%; EBL = 280.2, sd = 313.6) and Masson-Lecomte et al [34] (BT = 6%; EBL = 244.8, sd =365.4).

Although none of the patients in our study received BT, two patients did require erythropoietin infusion. Recombinant Human Erythropoietin (RHE) is one of many supportive measures – including Cell saver, coagulating instruments, volume expanders and controlled hypotension – that can support bloodless surgery in high risk procedures [1]. RHE bolsters bone marrow production of erythrocytes, and can be given in the pre- or postoperative setting [35,36]. There are no prospective, randomized trials to determine appropriate dosing, but case reports have recorded success with ranges as wide as 60-500 Units/kg given subcutaneously either daily or several times per week. Supplemental medications such as Iron, B12 and folic...
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