**Abstract**

*Brucella suis* strain 353-1 is a stable vaccine strain that is clinically safe, does not cause positive serologic responses on conventional brucellosis surveillance tests, and induces humoral and cellular immunity in swine after vaccination. In this study, we evaluated tissue clearance and immunologic responses after oral or parenteral vaccination of feral swine with $1.9 \times 10^{12}$ colony-forming units (CFU) of strain 353-1, and compared efficacy of vaccination and control treatments in protecting against infection after experimental conjunctival challenge with a virulent *B. suis* strain. Feral swine vaccinated orally or parentally with strain 353-1 had greater (P < 0.05) mean ELISA titers to *Brucella* at all sampling times after vaccination when compared to non-vaccinated swine. PBMC from swine parenterally vaccinated with 353-1 at 12 or 17 weeks, or oral vaccines at 12 weeks after vaccination, demonstrated greater (P < 0.05) antigen-specific proliferative responses when compared to responses of PBMC from non-vaccinates. At necropsy, 4 weeks after experimental challenge with virulent *B. suis*, non-vaccinated feral swine had greater standard tube agglutination titers and disseminated infection with higher (P < 0.05) colonization (CFU/gm) in most tissues as compared to oral or parenteral vaccinates. The virulent challenge strain was not recovered from any tissues collected from parenteral vaccinates at 4 weeks after experimental challenge. Although the challenge strain was recovered at low levels from some samples, tissue colonization in most tissues of oral vaccinates did not differ (P > 0.05) from parenteral vaccinates, but was reduced (P < 0.05) when compared to colonization in non-vaccinated swine.
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**Introduction**

Brucellosis continues to be a significant zoonosis worldwide, with epidemiologic data suggesting that it remains a significant problem in many countries, and increasing or re-emerging in others [1]. Humans are effectively dead-end hosts and persistence of brucellosis requires maintenance in susceptible reservoir hosts. Several studies have demonstrated that addressing the pathogen in animal reservoirs is the most cost effective method for reducing human brucellosis [2,3].

Although new species of *Brucella* that are potentially zoonotic have been identified with reservoir hosts in wildlife [4-7], the three zoonotic species in domestic livestock are of much greater importance [8]. In order of virulence in humans, these species are: *B. melitensis*, *B. suis*, and *B. abortus*. Although preferred reservoir hosts for these *Brucella* species are sheep and goats, swine, and cattle, respectively [8], each has been demonstrated to be capable of infecting other host species. Numerous reports have identified *B. suis* as the cause of clinical disease in humans [9,10]. Additionally, *B. suis* is capable of infecting and inducing seropositivity in cattle [11,12]. In many countries, interest in *B. suis* is increasing due to its maintenance in expanding populations of feral swine or wild boar [13,14]. In the United States alone, an estimated 5 million feral swine are present and distributed over 39 states. Brucellosis in feral swine is widespread, especially in the southeastern United States, and seroprevalence rates may vary from state to state (range 0.3 to 52.6 percent). This large variation can be attributed to both true variations in disease prevalence and/or differences in serologic testing methods. Nevertheless, serological data suggest the widespread presence of *B. suis* in feral swine populations in the United States, and the potential for transmission to both humans and domestic animals.

Control of *B. suis* in feral swine populations is plagued with several challenges including lack of reliable serologic tests, and a rapidly expanding wildlife population across a wide geographic range. There are key differences in the pathogenesis of *B. suis* in swine, as compared to brucellosis (*B. abortus* or *B. suis*) in large or small ruminants [15]. *Brucella suis* in swine is characterized by a prolonged bacteremia and protracted shedding from mucosal surfaces as well as in urine. In addition, it has been previously demonstrated, that non-pregnant females and males are capable of shedding *B. suis*. Therefore, unlike other species
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Vaccination

Male and female, sexually intact feral swine, were captive-born and raised at the United States Department of Agriculture/Colorado State University Wildlife Research Facility in Fort Collins, Colorado, USA from a brucellosis-free herd. These animals were first and second generation offspring of feral swine that were originally obtained from the wild in Texas, USA and confirmed as brucellosis-free using serologic tests. After transport to our facility at 12 to 14 weeks of age, they were acclimated for 2 weeks in a bio level 3 containment facility, feral swine were randomly assigned, including blocking by sex, to parenteral (n=8), oral (n=8), and control (n=9) treatments. For the duration of the experiment, feral swine were housed in the containment facility and segregated by treatment. Swine in vaccinated treatments received 2 ml of phosphate buffered saline containing the live 353-1 strain, administered either intramuscularly (parenteral) or into the caudal portion of the oral cavity (oral).

Assessment of Tissue Colonization and In vivo Clearance

At 8 weeks post-vaccination, 3 feral swine from both oral and parenteral vaccination groups were randomly selected and necropsied. Samples obtained at necropsy included lung, liver, spleen, lymphatic tissues (bronchial, hepatic, iliac, inguinal, mandibular, parotid, popliteal, prescapular, and retropharyngeal), blood, and urine.

Assessment of Serologic Responses

Blood samples were collected from parenteral- and orally-vaccinated feral swine by jugular venipuncture prior to vaccination, at 4, 8, 12, and 17 weeks post-inoculation, and at 2 weeks after challenge. Non-vaccinated feral swine were

Table 1: Microbiologic Characteristics of Brucella suis strain 353-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colonial Morphology</th>
<th>Rough</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gram Stain</td>
<td>Gm-Coccobacilli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urease</td>
<td>Rapid positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidase</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalase</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2 requirement</td>
<td>CO2 not required for growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2S</td>
<td>Positive at 24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigens</td>
<td>A-, M-, R+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysis by Phages</td>
<td>R-, R/O-, RTD-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Growth in Media containing

| Erythritol (2 mg/ml) | Positive |
| Penicillin (5 U/ml)  | Negative |
| Thionine Blue (1:500)| Negative |
| Thionin (1:25,000)   | Positive  |
| Serum requirement    | Negative  |
| Acriflavin agglutination | Positive |

Tests performed in accordance with procedures described in Alton et al 1988.

of Brucella, males and non-pregnant female swine appear capable of contributing to B. suis transmission. In fact, zoonotic issues associated with processing of infected swine in abattoirs [16-18] are probably related to the persistent bacteremia and shedding from non-pregnant animals. Transmission mechanisms of brucellosis in feral swine are not completely characterized as both venereal and oral routes may contribute to infection. Oral exposure to aborted fetuses, infected placenta tissue, urine or even direct contact with contaminated surfaces cannot be excluded as significant routes for disease transmission. These materials may also pose a risk for the spread of B. suis to domestic animals and humans. Therefore, vaccination strategies that minimize shedding are of importance for reducing transmission of B. suis.

We have previously demonstrated that B. suis strain 353-1 is a stable vaccine strain that is non-pathogenic in swine and induces humoral and cellular immunity after vaccination [19]. As 353-1 is a rough strain, it does not express the O-side-chain on its lipopolysaccharide, and vaccinated swine remain seronegative on conventional brucellosis serologic tests after inoculation. In this study, we expand earlier work to determine efficacy of this vaccine in protecting feral swine against an experimental challenge with a virulent B. suis strain.

Material and Methods

Vaccine Cultures

Brucella suis strain 353-1 (353-1) was isolated from urine of a feral boar from Georgetown County, South Carolina (19). Characteristics of the isolate are included in Table 1 and the isolate was determined to be a Brucella suis biovar 1 by the National Veterinary Services Laboratory using standard diagnostic procedures. A master seed stock of 353-1 was prepared by propagation of a single colony from the original isolation plate on tryptose agar (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI) containing 5% bovine serum (TSA) at 37º C and 5% CO2 for 72 hr. Bacteria were harvested using 0.15 M phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and stored at -80º C until vaccine or reagent preparation.

Brucella suis strain 3B, a virulent field strain first isolated from a boar in 1943, was obtained from the National Animal Disease Center culture collection.

For vaccination and experimental challenge of swine, strains 353-1 and 3B, respectively, were expanded on TSA for 48 hours at 37º C with 5% CO2. Bacteria were harvested using PBS and diluted to a concentration of approximately 109 colony-forming units (CFU) per ml for 353-1, or 108 CFU/ml for strain 3B, using an optical density method and spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Final concentrations of live bacteria in inoculums were determined by standard plate counts on TSA.

For serology and lymphocyte proliferation assays, strain 353-1 bacteria were grown on TSA for 48 hours at 37º C, suspended in PBS, and bacterial concentrations determined by standard plate counts. After inactivation by incubation at 60º C for 2 hours, aliquots of the culture suspension were stored at -80º C.

For vaccination and experimental challenge of swine, strains 353-1 and 3B, respectively, were expanded on TSA for 48 hours at 37º C with 5% CO2. Bacteria were harvested using PBS and diluted to a concentration of approximately 109 colony-forming units (CFU) per ml for 353-1, or 108 CFU/ml for strain 3B, using an optical density method and spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Final concentrations of live bacteria in inoculums were determined by standard plate counts on TSA.

For serology and lymphocyte proliferation assays, strain 353-1 bacteria were grown on TSA for 48 hours at 37º C, suspended in PBS, and bacterial concentrations determined by standard plate counts. After inactivation by incubation at 60º C for 2 hours, aliquots of the culture suspension were stored at -80º C.
sampled at 4 and 8 wk (n=6) and at 2 weeks after experimental challenge (n=9). Blood was allowed to clot for 12 hours at 4°C and centrifuged. Serum was divided into 1 ml aliquots, frozen, and stored at -70°C.

Antibody responses against the vaccine strain of Brucella were determined by a previously described ELISA [20] in which heat-killed 353-1 is used as antigen with sera evaluated at a 1:100 dilution and results measured as optical density (OD) on a ELISA plate reader. Antibody responses prior to and at 4 weeks after experimental challenge were evaluated using a standard tube agglutination test [20].

Post-Vaccination Lymphocyte Proliferation

Blood was obtained from the jugular vein of parenteral and oral vaccinates at 4, 8, 12, and 17 weeks after vaccination and placed into an acid-citrate dextrose solution. Non-vaccinated feral swine were only sampled at 4 and 8 weeks. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were enriched by density centrifugation using a Ficoll-sodium diatrizoate gradient (Sigma Diagnostics, Inc., St. Louis, MO). Peripheral blood mononuclear cells were diluted in RPMI 1640 medium to 1 x 10^5 viable cells per ml as determined by trypan blue dye exclusion.

Fifty ul of each cell suspension, containing 5 x 10^5 cells, was added to each of two separate flat-bottom wells of 96-well microtiter plates that contained 100 ul of RPMI 1640 medium only, heat-killed 353-1 (10^6 to 10^9 bacteria per well), or 1640 medium containing 1 ug/ml of pokeweed mitogen (PWM). Cell cultures were incubated for 7 days at 37°C in 5% CO2. After a 7 day incubation, cell cultures were pulsed with 1.0 uCi of [3H]-thymidine per well for 18 hours. Cells were harvested onto glass filter mats and counted for radioactivity in a liquid scintillation counter. Radioactivity was expressed as mean counts per minute (cpm), and stimulation indexes were determined by dividing the mean cpm from wells containing 353-1 antigen by the mean cpm from wells without antigen (RPMI 1640 medium only).

Experimental B. suis Challenge

At 18 weeks after inoculation, all remaining feral swine were intraconjunctivally challenged with 5 x 10^6 CFU (50 ul of inoculum per eye) of B. suis strain 3B.

Necropsy Procedures

Swine were euthanized at 4 weeks after conjunctival challenge by intravenous injection of sodium pentobarbital (Sleepaway, Ft. Dodge Labs, Ft. Dodge, IA, USA). Microbiologic samples obtained at necropsy after experimental challenge included: blood, urine, lymphatic tissues (bronchial, hepatic, inguinal, internal iliac, mandibular, mesenteric, parotid, popliteal, prescapular, and retropharyngeal), uterus or epididymis/testis, spleen, liver, lung, kidney, and vaginal and conjunctival swabs.

Bacterial Culture

Tissue samples were processed in a similar manner as reported in other studies. Approximately 1 gm of tissue samples were weighed and individually ground in 2 ml of PBS (pH=7.2) using sterile glass grinders. Serial dilutions of each suspension of each tissue were plated onto TSA and Kuzda and Morse plates and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2, for 7 days. Brucella isolates were identified on the basis of colony morphology, growth characteristics, and a real time PCR assay using Brucella-specific primers and probe to the omp2A region of Brucella [20,21].

Blood was mixed 1:1 with tryptose broth (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI) containing 1% sodium citrate. One ml from each blood culture was directly plated on tryptose agar containing 5% bovine serum. The remainder of each blood culture was held at -5°C for 24 hours and then placed at 37°C with 5% CO2 for 7, 14, 21 and 28 days. At each time point, 1 ml was taken from each culture and plated onto tryptose agar containing 5% bovine serum. As with tissue isolates, B. suis was identified after incubation at 37°C and 5% CO2 for 72 hours on the basis of colony morphology, growth characteristics, and a Brucella-specific PCR assay [20,21].

Statistical Analysis

Bacteriologic data are presented as mean ± SEM. Serologic and colonization data were converted to the logarithm of the titer for analysis. Standard tube agglutination data which were negative on the first dilution and colonization data in which no recovery was made were converted to 1 for logarithmic conversion. Cell proliferation results were converted to stimulation indices (counts per minute (cpm) of wells containing antigen/cpm in absence of antigen) for statistical comparisons. Proliferation data from non-vaccinated feral swine prior to challenge were combined for analysis and compared to mean responses of vaccination treatments. Lymphoproliferative responses after vaccination, serologic data after vaccination and challenge, and tissue colonization after challenge were compared by general linear model procedure (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) and presented as mean ± SEM. Means were separated by a least square means procedure (P< 0.05). Fisher’s exact test was used to evaluate differences in incidence of infection between treatment groups.

Animal Welfare

The animal experiments as performed in this study were approved by the National Animal Disease Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Procedures and biocontainment were in accordance with requirements of the Select Agent Act.

Results and Discussion

The overall results of our study suggest that parental and oral vaccination of feral swine with strain 353-1 was effective in protecting against infection after experimental challenge with a virulent strain of B. suis.

Antibody titers against B. suis strain 353-1 and from parenteral and oral vaccinates were greater (P < 0.05) at all sampling times after vaccination when compared to titers from non-vaccinated feral swine (Figure 1). Titers between parenteral and oral vaccinate groups did not differ (P> 0.05) when compared to each other. Two weeks after experimental challenge of feral swine, titers from parenteral and oral vaccinates to strain 353-1 were in accordance with requirements of the Select Agent Act.
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Field detection of brucellosis is primarily based on serologic testing. For detection of brucellosis in swine, current serum agglutination tests lack both sensitivity and specificity, which affects their ability to accurately determine the true prevalence of brucellosis within herds or populations. An ideal vaccine would be efficacious while also not interfering with serologic testing; thereby allowing discrimination between infected and vaccinated animals. We used the standard tube agglutination test to monitor the serological status of our experimental animals. Prior to experimental challenge, sera from all groups were negative on the standard tube agglutination test and mean titers did not differ (P > 0.05) between treatments. After experimental challenge, control pigs had greater (P < 0.05) mean standard tube agglutination titers (53.1 ± 8.0) as compared to mean titers of swine in the parenteral (0 ± 0) or oral (12.5 ± 12.5) vaccination treatments. As expected, these data indicate that vaccination with strain 353-1 did not cause positive serologic responses that would interfere with detecting Brucella-infected swine.

Cellular immune responses are believed to be the primary immunologic mechanism for protection against intracellular pathogens. In the current study, proliferative cellular immune responses were elicited after parenteral and oral vaccination. When compared to non-vaccinated feral swine, parenteral vaccinates had greater (P < 0.05) proliferative responses to Brucella antigens at 12 and 17 weeks after vaccination. In the current study, proliferative responses of oral vaccinates did not differ (P > 0.05) from responses of parenteral vaccinates at 12 and 17 weeks, but differed (P < 0.05) from responses of control animals only at 12 weeks (Figure 2). The induction of cellular immunity in swine is consistent with data from our laboratory in which vaccinated cattle and bison demonstrate significant cellular responses beginning at 12 to 16 weeks after parenteral vaccination. Based on these data, both parenteral and oral vaccination with strain 353-1 induced cellular proliferative responses in swine; with parenteral vaccination appearing to have induced more robust responses.

Presence of the vaccine strain was evaluated at 8 weeks post-vaccination by culturing urine and tissues collected at necropsy from both oral and parenteral vaccinates. In the oral vaccination group, the vaccine strain was only recovered in urine from 1 pig and from lymph nodes of 2 of 3 animals (Data not shown). In contrast, the vaccine strain was not recovered from any sample obtained from three parenteral vaccinates (Data not shown). Although numbers of animals were limited, data from this study and others [19] suggests rapid in vivo clearance of strain 353-1 after vaccination of swine.

After experimental challenge, blood and swabs (conjunctival and vaginal) collected at necropsy were negative for isolation of B. suis in all animals.

Because the challenge strain was not recovered from any sample obtained at necropsy from parenteral vaccinates, incidence of recovery of B. suis from parenteral vaccinates was reduced (P < 0.05) when compared to recovery from non-vaccinated swine (Table 2). In a similar comparison, the incidence of recovery of virulent B. suis from oral vaccinates was reduced (P < 0.05) in all tissue groups except cervical lymph nodes, when compared to recovery from swine in the control treatment.

When colonization of tissues were compared across treatments (Table 3), parenteral or oral vaccination with strain 353-1 reduced CFU/gm of virulent B. suis in lung, spleen, and most lymphatic tissues (bronchial, mandibular, mesenteric, parotid, prescapular, popliteal, iliac, and inguinal lymph nodes). However, mean colonization in liver, kidney, hepatic, and retropharyngeal lymph nodes did not statistically differ (P > 0.05) between non-vaccinated and vaccinated treatments. A statistical difference (P < 0.05) between treatments in mean colonization was found in
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**Table 2:** Recovery of *B. suis* from tissues obtained at necropsy after conjunctival challenge with *B. suis* strain 3B of feral swine vaccinated with 10^10 colony-forming units of *B. suis* strain 353-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parenteral Vaccinates</th>
<th>Oral Vaccinates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control</strong></td>
<td><strong>Vaccinates</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Feral Swine</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Organs&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproductive Tissues&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical Lymph Nodes&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Lymph Nodes&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9/9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Major organs are defined as lung, liver, spleen, and kidney.

<sup>b</sup> Reproductive tissues are defined as uterus, testes, epididymis, and iliac or inguinal lymph nodes.

<sup>c</sup> Cervical lymph nodes are defined as parotid, mandibular, or retropharyngeal.

<sup>d</sup> Other lymph nodes are defined as bronchial, hepatic, mesenteric, popliteal, and preauricular.

* Denotes incidence of infection in the group of tissues for animals in the same treatment.

---

**Table 3:** Colonization (colony-forming units/gm) of *B. suis* in target tissues at 4 weeks after experimental *B. suis* challenge of feral swine vaccinated with 10^10 colony-forming units of *B. suis* strain 353-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Parenteral Vaccinates</th>
<th>Oral Vaccinates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lung</strong></td>
<td>686 ± 514&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0 ± 0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liver</strong></td>
<td>292 ± 281</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spleen</strong></td>
<td>130 ± 93&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0 ± 0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kidney</strong></td>
<td>20 ± 16</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bronchial LN</strong></td>
<td>999 ± 631&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0 ± 0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mesenteric LN</strong></td>
<td>40 ± 16&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0 ± 0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parotid LN</strong></td>
<td>155 ± 55&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0 ± 0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Preganglionic LN</strong></td>
<td>153 ± 51&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0 ± 0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Popliteal LN</strong></td>
<td>42 ± 18&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0 ± 0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hepatic LN</strong></td>
<td>19 ± 126&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0 ± 0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Iliac LN</strong></td>
<td>429 ± 289</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reproductive Tract</strong></td>
<td>1224 ± 842&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0 ± 0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Retropharyngeal LN</strong></td>
<td>1638 ± 1278&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0 ± 0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inguinal LN</strong></td>
<td>81 ± 38</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean colony-forming units (CFU) per gm of tissue.

* Treatment means within a tissue with different superscripts are statistically (P < 0.05) different.

---

most, but not all tissues.

Gender did not influence recovery (P > 0.05) of the challenge strain at necropsy. For example, in reproductive tissues (uterus, testes, epididymis, inguinal lymph node, or iliac lymph node) of non-vaccinates, the challenge strain was recovered from tissues of five females and three males. It should be emphasized that the 353-1 vaccine strain was not recovered at necropsy after experimental challenge.

Overall, the observed high colonization of the challenge strain in tissues from control feral swine, and the absence, or significant reduction of colonization in vaccinates, suggests the 353-1 vaccine is efficacious against *B. suis* in swine. While oral vaccination with strain 353-1 was not as efficacious as parenteral vaccination, it should be emphasized that reproductive tissues for both vaccination groups were culture negative, implying that transmission of brucellosis would be reduced by vaccination. Therefore, vaccination with the 353-1 strain could reduce bacterial colonization and shedding in swine, leading to reduced transmission within feral swine populations and to other potential hosts (humans or domestic livestock).

Capture of a significant portion of feral swine populations for vaccination is an unrealistic expectation. Populations of feral swine are large and dispersed across wide areas, and the disposition and intelligence of feral swine make entrapment difficult. Therefore, a brucellosis vaccine that could be orally delivered under natural conditions would be ideal for addressing brucellosis in feral swine or wild boar. Although data from our study suggests that oral vaccination of feral swine with 353-1 is not as efficacious as parenteral vaccination, our results indicate that oral delivery could be beneficial for brucellosis control. It would be of interest to determine if tissue colonization in oral vaccinates would have demonstrated greater reductions if the time between challenge and necropsy was increased, or if booster vaccination was utilized.

It should be noted that experimental challenge models used for evaluation of efficacy of brucellosis vaccines in swine need further characterization to define the relationship between infection and reproductive effects, so that clinical disease after experimental challenge replicates the epidemiologic features of *B. suis* under field conditions. The experimental challenge used in this study utilized a virulent strain from published studies dating back in the 1950's [22]. Virulence of this strain was also supported by data obtained in previous studies in which in vivo persistence of this strain after conjunctival inoculation was characterized in naive pigs (Olsen, unpublished). The observed high rate of infection in non-vaccinated swine after experimental infection in the current study was similar to the prevalence of *Brucella* infection in feral swine under field conditions [23]. In that study, the high recovery rate (77.5%) of *Brucella* in randomly trapped feral swine most likely reflects the epidemiologic features of brucellosis in a chronically infected feral swine population. Our challenge model is also supported by data that indicates that prepubescent swine, as used in the current study, can be readily infected and may demonstrate persistent infections for up to 144 days [14,16,22,24,25].

We have previously demonstrated that *B. suis* strain 353-1 is a natural rough mutant, is genetically stable, does not induce positive responses on brucellosis surveillance tests, is not shed...
after vaccination, and is clinically safe in swine [19]. Based on these characteristics and the efficacy data presented in this paper, strain 353-1 appears to be a promising vaccine for addressing brucellosis in swine that will not impair serologic surveillance of *B. suis*. Although additional studies are needed, our data suggests that oral delivery of this vaccine could be an effective strategy for increasing protection in swine against *B. suis*, thereby making it ideal for delivery to wild boar and feral swine.
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